

Score	Description	Discussion
90-100% of available points	Exceptional	Clearly superior to that which is average.
70-80%	Above Average	Better than that which is average.
50-60%	Average	Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response.
30-40%	Below Average	Substandard to that which is average.
10-20%	Failing	Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average.
0%	No Experience	Response shows no experience in this skill or capability.

Evaluator Scoresheet for RFP #2434-851

You will be evaluating one part of the bidder’s submission: Section 5 & 6. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to submit additional documents, they will be included in an attached document.

5 & 6. BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (200 Points)		200 MAX POINTS	SCORE	
6.	C	Please describe the experiences, skills and qualifications your organization possesses that are relevant to an evaluation of your ability to perform the Contract that is the subject of this Solicitation. Please ensure that your answer to this question includes all information that you wish DSHS to consider in determining whether you meet the minimum Bidder qualifications set forth in the Solicitation Document. Please include any relevant experience that distinguishes your organization or makes it uniquely qualified for the Contract.	30	30
	COMMENT:	B4 has a statewide reach, expertise in policy advocacy and analysis, project development, and capacity building. I appreciate the centering of people who have been most impacted by extraction, pollution, and climate change.		
6.	D	Please provide at least three examples of your expertise and experience working with communities furthest away from state decision, policy and budget tables.	30	30
	COMMENT:	The examples demonstrated how B4 worked with communities furthest away from state decision, policy and budget tables to engage in co-creation of policy solutions and in advocating for those policy solutions.		
6.	E	Please describe your experience facilitating partnerships with multiple state agencies in the public sector. In this response, include the methods your firm employs for assuring that services and deliverables are provided in accordance with high quality standards and for immediately correcting any deficiencies.	20	20
	COMMENT:	Provided examples of how they have worked with multiple state agencies on co-developing tools such as a cumulative impacts mapping tool and on co-creating policy recommendations to reduce poverty. I appreciate that B4 starts with contracts that clearly outline all partners’ responsibilities and expectations. This helps keep all parties accountable.		
6.	F	Please describe your experience advising community members of the effects of stipends or community compensation on their state/federal benefits.	20	20

	COMMENT:	B4 has experience advising community members on how stipends or community compensation may impact their state/federal benefits.		
A	Please describe your firm's business philosophy or approach that will ensure a partnership with a state entity will provide more just outputs and outcomes in a contract.		30	30
	COMMENT:	B4 is committed to collaborative governance with communities and state agencies. They provided examples of how it has resulted in concrete changes including the passage of legislation allowing the state to compensate people with lived experience.		
B	Please describe your firm's specific processes or methods that will ensure an assembly model is equity-focused in its approach.		20	20
	COMMENT:	B4 described how they would engage with frontline communities including partnering with community based organizations, organizers, and other trusted messengers. They also talked about compensation for community members.		
C	Please add a draft timeline for the implementation of the 4 community assemblies in Washington state.		20	18
	COMMENT:	B4 provided an outline of a timeline that would be subject to change pending final contract discussion. This is in keeping with an earlier statement that they start with contracts that clearly outline all partners' responsibilities and expectations.		
D	Please describe how your firm will develop specific curriculum and conduct in-person training for communities to achieve a state policy outcome. Please highlight how you accommodate accessibility or language needs in the training as well.		20	20
	COMMENT:	I like the specificity of the topics they will cover and its relevance to community assemblies. I also liked that materials will be made available in different languages and real-time translation will be available.		
F	Please describe the security methods and processes your firm will use to perform basic financial transactions. For example, providing compensation to Community Assembly attendees, or distributing funds to other organizations.		10	10

		COMMENT:	Provided sufficient response.		
			Click here to enter text.		
			Click here to enter text.		

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING
June 3-7, 2024
RFP #2434-851
Community Assemblies

Bidder Identifying Code: B4

Evaluator Number: WE2

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 & 6 Non-Cost Submittal	200 points
----------------------------------	------------

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

Score	Description	Discussion
90-100% of available points	Exceptional	Clearly superior to that which is average.
70-80%	Above Average	Better than that which is average.
50-60%	Average	Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response.
30-40%	Below Average	Substandard to that which is average.
10-20%	Failing	Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average.
0%	No Experience	Response shows no experience in this skill or capability.

Evaluator Scoresheet for RFP #2434-851

You will be evaluating one part of the bidder’s submission: Section 5 & 6. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to submit additional documents, they will be included in an attached document.

5 & 6.	BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (200 Points)		200 MAX POINTS	SCORE
	C	Please describe the experiences, skills and qualifications your organization possesses that are relevant to an evaluation of your ability to perform the Contract that is the subject of this Solicitation. Please ensure that your answer to this question includes all information that you wish DSHS to consider in determining whether you meet the minimum Bidder qualifications set forth in the Solicitation Document. Please include any relevant experience that distinguishes your organization or makes it uniquely qualified for the Contract.		30
COMMENT:	B4 meets all the experience/requirements that this contract calls for. However, I would have liked to see more details on the specific marginalized communities they have worked with (outside of frontline staff).			
D	Please provide at least three examples of your expertise and experience working with communities furthest away from state decision, policy and budget tables.		30	30
COMMENT:	Was happy to see the specific communities they have engaged with as well as their method of co-creation.			
E	Please describe your experience facilitating partnerships with multiple state agencies in the public sector. In this response, include the methods your firm employs for assuring that services and deliverables are provided in accordance with high quality standards and for immediately correcting any deficiencies.		20	20
COMMENT:	They seem to have extensive experience in facilitating partnerships with state agencies in the public sector. They also have a lot of experience working on projects that have intersectionalities between marginalized communities, low-income neighborhoods, and environmental justice. Love that they mention how they navigate these partnerships and the tools in which they use to keep everyone on track.			
F	Please describe your experience advising community members of the effects of stipends or community compensation on their state/federal benefits.		20	20

	COMMENT:	Superb understanding of the importance of compensation as well as outstanding experience compensating volunteers.		
A	Please describe your firm’s business philosophy or approach that will ensure a partnership with a state entity will provide more just outputs and outcomes in a contract.		30	30
	COMMENT:	Their philosophy is essentially to have community members who are directly impacted by gov. decisions, shape policy priorities and work directly with state agencies and lawmakers. This is key to shared power which is a major part of this project. I really enjoyed the part when they mention that the work will continue should they not be selected for this contract – that it isn’t just about what is done on this contract, but that we all have a part to play.		
B	Please describe your firm's specific processes or methods that will ensure an assembly model is equity-focused in its approach.		20	20
	COMMENT:	B4 does an amazing job at explaining how they remain equity focused by diversifying their feedback and perspective as well as seeking out folks with intersectionalities. They take the time to meet community members where they are and meet any accommodations that are needed.		
C	Please add a draft timeline for the implementation of the 4 community assemblies in Washington state.		20	15
	COMMENT:	I wish there was a little more info on the timeline, but it seems satisfactory.		
D	Please describe how your firm will develop specific curriculum and conduct in-person training for communities to achieve a state policy outcome. Please highlight how you accommodate accessibility or language needs in the training as well.		20	20
	COMMENT:	Key word mentioned was tailored support and personalized assessments. I also like the piece on resources are designed for community by community.		
F	Please describe the security methods and processes your firm will use to perform basic financial transactions. For example, providing compensation to Community Assembly attendees, or distributing funds to other organizations.		10	8

		COMMENT:	Satisfactory, but was looking for more details.		
			Click here to enter text.		
			Click here to enter text.		

WRITTEN RESPONSE SCORING
June 3-7, 2024
RFP #2434-851
Community Assemblies

Bidder Identifying Code: B4

Evaluator Number: WE3

General Guidelines:

- Please score each vendor's response without reference to the scores for other vendors. Each score should reflect your score based on the criteria only.
- Please note all scores and comments in the allotted sections. If you change a score, initial the change.
- Please include comments that will assist the vendor in understanding why the response did not get full points. Positive comments are also welcome.
- We would prefer that you leave a comment for each question scored, briefly explaining why you assigned that particular score.
- You may discuss the proposals among the evaluation team, but each evaluator should score independently. **We do not use consensus scoring.**
- Do not downgrade a proposal because it did not address something that was not asked for in the Solicitation.

Scoring of Proposals

The following available points will be assigned to the proposal for evaluation purposes:

Section 5 & 6 Non-Cost Submittal	200 points
----------------------------------	------------

If you have questions, please direct them to Caleb Clark, Solicitation Coordinator, phone 360-664-6076. All evaluations must be returned and reviewed by the Solicitation Coordinator at the end of the evaluation.

Score	Description	Discussion
90-100% of available points	Exceptional	Clearly superior to that which is average.
70-80%	Above Average	Better than that which is average.
50-60%	Average	Baseline score for each item with adjustments based upon the evaluator's interpretation of the Bidder's response.
30-40%	Below Average	Substandard to that which is average.
10-20%	Failing	Non-responsive or clearly inadequate to that which is average.
0%	No Experience	Response shows no experience in this skill or capability.

Evaluator Scoresheet for RFP #2434-851

You will be evaluating one part of the bidder’s submission: Section 5 & 6. Non-Cost Submittal. If a question requires Bidders to submit additional documents, they will be included in an attached document.

5 & 6.	BIDDER Non-Cost Submittal (200 Points)		200 MAX POINTS	SCORE
	C	Please describe the experiences, skills and qualifications your organization possesses that are relevant to an evaluation of your ability to perform the Contract that is the subject of this Solicitation. Please ensure that your answer to this question includes all information that you wish DSHS to consider in determining whether you meet the minimum Bidder qualifications set forth in the Solicitation Document. Please include any relevant experience that distinguishes your organization or makes it uniquely qualified for the Contract.		30
COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.			
D	Please provide at least three examples of your expertise and experience working with communities furthest away from state decision, policy and budget tables.		30	27
COMMENT:	The response could have been more specific about the 3 examples the question asked for. One example was strong, but the rest of the response was more general.			
E	Please describe your experience facilitating partnerships with multiple state agencies in the public sector. In this response, include the methods your firm employs for assuring that services and deliverables are provided in accordance with high quality standards and for immediately correcting any deficiencies.		20	17
COMMENT:	Response could have been more specific about B4’s methods and approaches employed.			
F	Please describe your experience advising community members of the effects of stipends or community compensation on their state/federal benefits.		20	20

	COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.		
A	Please describe your firm’s business philosophy or approach that will ensure a partnership with a state entity will provide more just outputs and outcomes in a contract.		30	30
	COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.		
B	Please describe your firm's specific processes or methods that will ensure an assembly model is equity-focused in its approach.		20	20
	COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.		
C	Please add a draft timeline for the implementation of the 4 community assemblies in Washington state.		20	18
	COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.		
D	Please describe how your firm will develop specific curriculum and conduct in-person training for communities to achieve a state policy outcome. Please highlight how you accommodate accessibility or language needs in the training as well.		20	20
	COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.		
F	Please describe the security methods and processes your firm will use to perform basic financial transactions. For example, providing compensation to Community Assembly attendees, or distributing funds to other organizations.		10	9

		COMMENT:	Click here to enter text.		
			Click here to enter text.		
			Click here to enter text.		