

JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY PROGRAM

An Evaluation
July 1975

Department of Social and Health Services
Community Services Division
Bureau of Juvenile Rehabilitation

WASHINGTON STATE LIBRARY



A60000 123894

STATE OF WASHINGTON
JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY PROGRAM
EVALUATION

JUNE 1975

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES

Community Services Division

Bureau of Juvenile Rehabilitation

Program Coordinator, Walter Corwin
Research Investigator, Gary Lanstra

This study was conducted in collaboration with the Office of Research, DSHS. The assistance of Dr. Timothy Brown and Ralph W. Smith is gratefully acknowledged. The clerical services provided by Becky Phillips, Lynda Jensen, and Betty Ford in the preparation of tables, figures, and text are sincerely appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction	1
Method	6
Results.	8
Discussion of Findings	20
Methodological Considerations.	22

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

- Figure 1 - First and Re-Admissions to Cascadia Reception Diagnostic Center During Fiscal Years 1965 through 1974
- Figure 2 - First and Re-Admissions to Cascadia (as predicted from base commitment rates without Probation Subsidy intervention), Actual Commitments to CRDC charged to Participating Counties, and Reported Assignments to Juvenile Probation Subsidy during Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 1 - Participants during Calendar Years 1971-1974 with Base Commitment Rates, Expected Commitments by Year, Chargeable Commitments by Year, and Commitment Reduction Number by Year
- Table 2 - Assignments by Sex, Assignment Age, and Offense of Assignment for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 3 - Assignments by Sex, Assignment Age, and Service Needs for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 4 - Assignments by Race, Sex, and Year of Assignment for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 5 - Assignments by County of Assignment and Service Needs for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 6 - Assignments by Service Needs at Assignment and Year of Assignment for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 7 - Assignments by Frequency of Offense of Assignment and Year of Assignment for Calendar years 1971-1974
- Table 8 - Assignments by Total Reported Court known Referrals Prior to Assignment and Year of Assignment for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 9 - Releases by County of Release and Reason for Release for Calendar Years 1971-1974

- Table 10 - Releases by Services Provided and Year of Release for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 11 - Releases by Offense of Commitment and Length of Stay for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 12 - Releases for Certain Behavior Manifestations Showing Case Rating at Assignment by Case Rating at Release for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 13 - Assignments and Releases by Individual Counseling Service Need and Service Provided by Number and Percent of Additional Services Needed and Services Provided for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 14 - Releases by Commitment Offense by Type of Offense(s) Committed During the Program, If Any for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Table 15 - Releases by Commitment Offense and Number of Clients Committing Offenses During Program Participation for Calendar Years 1971-1974
- Appendix A - Assignment Report
- Appendix B - Release Report
- Appendix C - Sex, Age at Assignment, and Offense of Assignment, by Race
- Appendix D - Indicated Service Needs and Year of Assignment by Sex, Race, and Offense at Assignment
- Appendix E - Services Provided and Year of Release by Sex, Race and Offense at Assignment

STATE OF WASHINGTON
JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY EVALUATION

Introduction

During the 1969 special session of the Washington State Legislature the Juvenile Probation Subsidy Act was passed. Under this act, the Washington State Department of Institutions (now subsumed within the Department of Social and Health Services (DSHS)) was authorized to subsidize the cost of specialized county probation supervision programs for juveniles who could otherwise be committed to a Washington State juvenile institution. A major goal of the Juvenile Probation Subsidy Program is to reduce unnecessary commitments of juveniles to state rehabilitation facilities through the strengthening and improving of community-oriented programs.

Prior to the enactment of the Juvenile Probation Subsidy Program in 1969 the development and implementation of optimal care and treatment services for delinquent juveniles was severely restricted. Specifically, treatment services provided by the county juvenile courts were suffering from excessively high caseloads, increased time requirements devoted to investigative matters and court appearances, paucity of treatment resources and strategies, and low, non-competitive probation staff salaries. As a result, commitments to juvenile rehabilitation facilities became the commonly prescribed treatment program.

A self-perpetuating cyclical system had evolved. Problems cited became increasingly inseparable; each seemingly regenerating the others. County juvenile courts were unable to meet the treatment needs of delinquent

youth in the community and, thus were forced to commit an ever increasing number of youths to the care of the state juvenile facilities. In turn, the continued population growth in the state's facilities forced the former Department of Institutions to continue building bigger and "better" institutions. As additional institutional beds became available, the counties committed more youth. As the counties committed more youth, the Department of Institutions further increased bed capacity. In effect, commitment of the delinquent juvenile to a state facility became the prescribed treatment program, not always by need, but as custom required, through the lack of acceptable alternatives to institutionalization. Increased numbers of institutional beds, in effect, relieved the counties of the need and responsibility to provide improved and needed community-based services for delinquent youth.

The passage of the Probation Subsidy Act (House Bill 408 subsequently referred to as RCW 13.06) was not accidental. Concerned county and state officials became aware of a new and innovative strategy operating in the State of California. Little was known, other than that youth were being kept out of state facilities and that the treatment was being met by the community, in the community. Theoretically, the program in California was paying its own way--the savings in the cost of institutionalization were being shared with participating counties in the form of "subsidy payment"; the concept seemed logical and sound. A team of state and county juvenile justice and treatment professionals visited California to inquire about the program. Upon returning to Washington, this group sold the basic concept and program to Governor Evans, and then Director of the Department of Institutions, Dr. Conte. As a result, House Bill 408 was introduced and passed in the 1969 Legislature with an effective date of July 1, 1969.

The county probation programs supported under the Probation Subsidy Act are intended to provide a variety of new treatment techniques for treating juvenile offenders assigned by the juvenile courts. Strict regulations concerning program design, implementation, and application were foregone in order to foster creativity in the development of new and innovative ways of providing better rehabilitation programs for juveniles in the community. The intent of the act was to: (1) increase the protection afforded the citizens of the state; (2) permit a more even administration of justice in the juvenile courts throughout the state; (3) rehabilitate juvenile offenders in the community; and, (4) reduce the necessity for commitment of juveniles to state juvenile correctional facilities by strengthening and improving the supervision of juveniles placed on probation by the juvenile courts of the state. Further, that a "special supervision program" be one embodying a degree of supervision substantially above the usual or the use of new techniques in addition to or instead of routine supervision.

As Probation Subsidy evolved under the guidance of state and county juvenile court directors five specific objectives were defined. These are to: (1) reduce commitment rates to state juvenile rehabilitation facilities by 25% or more in participating counties; (2) reduce overall costs to the state for rehabilitating selected delinquent youth; (3) provide higher quality probation services to selected youthful offenders through (a) reducing probation officer caseloads, and (b) making funds available for purchase of additional services as needed; (4) provide increased protection to the community through more consistent, uniform supervision of probationers; and, (5) reduce the extent to which youths become involved in repeated offenses.

During the 1971-1973 biennium, a total allocation of approximately \$1,850,000 (including approximately \$500,000 of LEAA funds) was allocated to the Subsidy Program. Approximately \$3,638,000 (including approximately \$1,400,000 of LEAA funds) was appropriated for the 1973-1975 biennium. At the present, an appropriation of approximately \$4,200,000, consisting solely of state supported funds is anticipated for the 1975-1977 biennium.

County Participation is on a strictly voluntary basis. The degree or type of court participation is controlled by the numbers of youths historically processed through the courts. Participation by courts with consistent commitments above 30 per year is limited to the "special supervision program". Counties whose past commitment experience is less than 30 per year may elect to participate in either the "special supervision program" or the "alternate program".

The "special supervision program" is basically one of people, tools, and specialized services with reimbursement of program expenses. It is predicated on the reduction of commitment rates. The reduction rate calculations are based on the following.

1. Base Commitment Rate (BCR): that figure obtained from calculating the commitments per one hundred thousand population of each county for either the period of 1964 through 1968 or the period 1967 through 1968 whichever is higher.
2. Expected Commitment Rate (ECR): that figure obtained by multiplying the county population (certified as of April 1 of a given year) by the BCR.

3. Commitment Reduction Number (CRN): that number which results from subtracting each county's actual commitments during the year from the ECR for the year.

The commitment reduction number multiplied by \$4,000 establishes the amount of reimbursement for which the county is eligible. Reimbursement is by actual program cost or by the maximum amount of reimbursement (CRN X \$4,000) whichever is the lesser.

For those counties that elect the "alternate program", the state provides reimbursement to the county for the salary and employee benefits of one additional probation officer plus, on approval of the program coordinator, an amount not to exceed \$5,000 to be used for the purchase of treatment services.

Beginning in January 1970, 18 juvenile courts elected to participate in the more extensive "special supervision program" and 8 counties elected to participate in the smaller "alternate program". Although the Probation Subsidy Program became operational in January 1970, a coordinated data system was not implemented until 1971. This system was implemented to insure a unified data base appropriate to all participants. Initial reporting through the system began in March of 1971. However, not all counties involved in the Probation Subsidy Program at that time participated in the reporting system.

The reporting system consists of two input documents completed for offenders participating in the program. The Assignment Report (Appendix A) is completed when the juvenile is assigned to the Subsidy Program and provides

data on basic descriptors, referral history, offense characteristics, service needs, and case rating. The Release Report (Appendix B) is completed when the client is terminated from the program. Basic data, including reason for release, program benefit, program offense history, services provided and case rating are gathered at this time.

Method

Except where otherwise noted, data were obtained from the computerized files maintained by the Office of Information Systems. These files contain information on each admission to the juvenile system as to social and family history, offense history, educational and vocational experience and various other classifications and demographic variables.

In looking at the overall program the specific program objectives listed above will be examined. The first is the reduction of commitment rates by at least 25% in the participating counties. Data related to commitment rates for each county were obtained from DSHS files and expected commitment rates were computed as described in the previous section. Using these figures, a simple comparison was made to determine whether or not the objective had been met. Comparisons were also made between participating and non-participating counties to further demonstrate any observable impact of Subsidy on commitment rates.

The second objective is the reduction of overall cost to the state for the processing of delinquent youth. Data related to per capita cost in running the Probation Subsidy Programs were obtained from the Office of Operations Review, as were figures regarding per capita cost to the State

for the operation of juvenile institutions. Recognizing that various fixed costs to the state would not be immediately impacted by reduced commitments, the two per capita cost figures were compared for possible savings to the State through the Subsidy Program.

The third objective, that of providing higher quality service to program participants by reducing caseloads and providing funds for the purchase of needed services, was left with subjective data for evaluating the "quality" of services. Participating counties were asked for their appraisal as to whether or not, or to what degree, services had improved. A sampling of responses will be presented as appraisals made by program staff for use in determining whether or not the program objective has been met.

In addition to the two specific points about caseloads and funds, the intent of objective three appears to be the assessment of whether or not the Juvenile Probation Subsidy Program was established and is functioning as originally conceptualized. Consequently, a comprehensive analysis was conducted of the information found in the computerized files to determine the number and types of probationers, number and types of services given, and the degree the program is functioning on a statewide basis. This data will be used to determine the extent Probation Subsidy was implemented and is functioning.

The assessment of objectives four and five require data systems which are not established or operational. For example, there is not a data system that records "regular" county probation caseloads. Therefore, the numbers of probationers cannot be compared between regular and Subsidy probation.

Likewise, the Criminal Justice Information System is not yet operational so that probationers cannot be tracked to determine those that later are committed to adult institutions or leave the original county's jurisdiction. Moreover, objective five could only be evaluated by comparing comparable groups who receive Probation Subsidy and those who do not. The establishment of a comparison group who were deprived of the Subsidy Program would not currently be possible (see a further discussion of this point on page 23). Finally, time constraints for the evaluation report further limited the research methodology. An examination of possible future research to assess these objectives is discussed in a subsequent section.

Results

Objective 1

As pointed out previously, the history of the Washington State Juvenile Institutions prior to the implementation of the Juvenile Probation Subsidy Act was one of continuing high numbers of commitments. Figure 1 shows the first and re-admissions to Cascadia Reception-Diagnostic Center (CRDC), during fiscal years 1965-1974. Although not directly reflected in the data shown, the figures for 1965-1969 represent the upper end of a gradually continuing increase in the number of admissions to juvenile facilities for a number of years. With the implementation of the Probation Subsidy Program, admissions dropped dramatically to a low of 618 in 1973 and then showed a slight increase to 688 in 1974.

Figure 2 shows expected first and re-admissions to CRDC (as predicted from base commitment rates without Probation Subsidy intervention), actual commitments to CRDC charged to participating counties, and reported

assignments to Juvenile Probation Subsidy during calendar years 1971 through 1974. Participating counties would have been expected to commit 1,230.5 clients during 1971, 1,286 during 1972, 1,313 during 1973, and 1,317 during 1974, without the intervention of the Probation Subsidy Program. In fact, 522 were committed during 1971, 553 during 1972, and 544.5 during 1973, and 584.5 during 1974. Actual reported assignments to Juvenile Probation Subsidy increased from a low of 522 in 1971 to a high of 1,964 in 1974.

Table 1 shows data concerning the base commitment rates (BCR) expected commitment rates (ECR) by year, chargeable commitments by year, and commitment reduction number (CRN) by year for all counties who had previously participated or were currently participating in the Probation Subsidy Program as of January 31, 1974. Utilizing the base commitment rate calculations, all counties were expected to commit a total of 5,146.5 cases during the four year period. Beginning in 1973, participating counties were allowed to commit delinquent youth through the CRDC for diagnostic purposes only. In these instances, juveniles are transferred to the diagnostic center where a comprehensive diagnostic work-up is completed during an approximate six-week period. At the end of the diagnostic work-up, a juvenile is discharged directly from CRDC back to the county from which the youth came, unless the county specifically commits the youth for institutional placement. The county utilizes the diagnostic work-up completed by CRDC to determine the most appropriate placements and treatment regimen in these cases. For purposes of determining the net commitment number, the counties are charged for one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) of a commitment for diagnosis only, whereas juveniles committed to CRDC as a regular commitment are counted as one (1) commitment.

The overall commitment reduction number for each of the four years shows a gradual increase from 676.9 in 1971 to 768.5 in 1973. In 1974 the commitment reduction number declined somewhat to 731.5. In total there was a commitment reduction of 2,908.9 for all participating counties during the period. This number reflects the number of juveniles from participating counties who, without the intervention of Probation Subsidy, would have been expected to be committed to CRDC during the period.

Objective 2

The second objective specified for the Probation Subsidy Program was to reduce overall costs to the State for rehabilitating selected delinquent youth. Data obtained from the State of Washington Department of Social and Health Services Operations Review report completed on the Probation Subsidy Program on May 7, 1975, show that the average annual cost of a commitment to a State juvenile correctional institution is \$22,663. The annual Probation Subsidy Program cost to the State per juvenile is \$3,675. This shows a total commitment reduction saving of \$18,988 per average commitment. The Operations Review report indicates that the 1973 reduction in commitments "should ultimately result in a net reduction of expenditures by the Department in excess of \$14 million". Additionally, they state that "the short-run impact on Department expenditures will not equal the long run potential because of fixed costs; e.g., maintenance of youth camps and institutions do not vary in the short run with the reduction of commitment". The participating counties are servicing more clients than was expected or required per commitment reduction dollar (Figure 2).

Objective 3

The third objective specified for Probation Subsidy was that the program provide higher quality probation services to selected youthful offenders through (a) reducing probation officer caseloads, and (b) making funds available for purchase of additional services as needed. Although data concerning caseloads prior to the implementation of Probation Subsidy are not consistently available, statements received from a sample of the participating counties indicate that functional probation officer caseloads have been reduced since the implementation of Probation Subsidy. Generally, these statements indicate that prior to the Probation Subsidy Program, if probation was available at all, county probation officers were burdened with an extensive intake and investigation caseload which made substantive treatment for county probationers unrealistic.

"Prior to 1971 any juvenile probation subsidy services were performed in San Juan County by the prosecuting attorney. \$250 was budgeted for this in 1969 and 1970."

- San Juan County

"The Probation Subsidy Program has had a dramatic and positive impact on the overall functioning of the Pacific/Wahkiakum Counties Probation Department. Prior to Probation Subsidy, this office consisted of a lone probation officer. There was little actual supervision of probationers as his time was taken up with intakes, court hearings, paperwork, etc. Additionally, the lone probation officer had little time for such things as program development, community education, or the development of community resources."

- Pacific/Wahkiakum Counties

"Prior to the inception of Probation Subsidy, Spokane County had no regular probation services. Probation duties were handled by Court Investigation officers on a low priority basis. Since the inception of Probation Subsidy, the juvenile court has established a regular court probation caseload which works in conjunction with the Probation Subsidy Program but is a separate county-funded program."

- Spokane County

"One other additional change is being effected and began with the change of judge and the development of the Probation Subsidy Program. We have switched the philosophy from that of probation officer to probation or rehabilitation counselor, insisting that the investigative functions remain with the police departments, that the major responsibility of our agency is that of counseling children or on behalf of children and that the business of arresting children, investigation of crimes, etc., must reside within the jurisdiction of the several police agencies throughout the county."

- Whitman County

"Prior to the implementation of the Probation Subsidy Program in 1970, only limited probation supervision was being provided. During 1968 and 1969 one person in the department was assigned to supervise all probationers. His caseload varied between 80 and 120 cases. It was simply impossible to do more than 'shuffle' papers and 'put out fires'."

- Skagit County

The second part of the third objective is concerned with making funds available for the purchase of additional services as needed. Again, the replies from the county probation departments would suggest that in their judgment Probation Subsidy has fostered both the expansion of existing services in the community and the creation of formerly non-existent services.

"With the cooperation of our judges and county commissioners we have been most careful to see that local funding has not decreased as the result of Probation Subsidy. In fact, quite the contrary is true. State Subsidy monies have been matched by at least equal contributions by local government toward such ancillary services as psychiatric evaluation and treatment, placement resources, and training of probation staff. Again, this seems to me to be the kind of state/local partnership which makes a good deal of sense."

- Skagit County

"The county probation caseloads have benefited from the implementation and organization of community resources for Subsidy youth.

"County cases may also benefit from programs which otherwise may not have been available. Such services include employment, recreation, and drug awareness counseling."

- Grays Harbor County

"Probation Subsidy has seemingly caused the various public and private social service agencies to re-examine service priorities. In some cases, this has resulted in increased staffing, program reorganization, and program development, e.g., Mental Health First Offender Drug Program. Probation Subsidy has caused this 'awareness' through:

- a) requiring agencies to provide services to youth who have traditionally been incarcerated; and,
- b) Probation Subsidy staff have actively participated in the development of new programs necessary for the maintenance of youth within a community."

- Walla Walla County

"I believe services available in the community have increased because of the Subsidy Program. Again, as I said before, two years ago there was one employee where now we have two full-time and two part-time. All of these have been available because of the community organization aspect of the Probation Subsidy Program, as well as professional administrative skills and obtaining outside grants."

- Whitman County

"With the inception of Probation Subsidy and its direct effect upon the implementation of programs designed for youngsters assigned to Subsidy, there has been a carryover effect in allowing youngsters on the regular court probation caseload to have access to these services. These services were developed specifically for Probation Subsidy by community agencies but there has been a carryover in allowing services to be delivered to regular court probationers."

- Spokane County

"Since Cowlitz County's participation in the Probation Subsidy Program in January 1970, several things have occurred in the regular probation program. There has been a reduction in the caseload for the probation officers, with the more difficult and time consuming cases having been transferred to Probation Subsidy Unit. As a result of the transfer of cases mentioned above, the probation officers have more time to spend with and do a better follow-up job on their remaining caseload."

- Cowlitz County

"Services in the community have also improved. In the past there was very little interaction between the probation department and other agencies. Now we are cooperatively working with the schools, DSHS local office, Center for Youth Services, Employment Security, and the Mental Health Center for diagnostic services. Our department is participating in an Interagency Conference Committee consisting of representatives from schools, DSHS, public health, and mental health. The purpose of the Interagency Conference is to identify problem areas for youth with whom one or more of the agencies are working and coordinate the services each of us can provide for them."

- Pacific/Wahkiakum Counties

"Local mental health services have expanded from a half-time therapist in October 1972, to a service at present with one full-time director/therapist, two full-time therapists, a half-time nurse and a secretary. Local schools have added two full-time special education teachers, a full and part-time counselor, a full-time principal, and a new remedial class and instructor for youths with learning and behavior problems."

- San Juan County

"I do not believe that in my 12 years on the bench I have been more enthusiastic concerning a program as I have been in connection with this one. I have great anticipation for the successful results that I think this program will attain. I believe this money will be very well spent on the local level in bringing about the best results for our troubled young people. In addition, hopefully the program will be able to save the State of Washington an overall sizable sum of money if our experience is anything like it has been elsewhere in this regard."

Chelan County Judge

It should again be pointed out that these statements are the subjective appraisals of participating counties, and while they are attitudes that appear to be held by all responding counties, they represent a subset of all the participating counties in the State. Consequently, they cannot be used to make final conclusions in evaluating objective three. They do, however, provide substantial support to the notion that it has been met, and they are presented here for the purpose of giving the reader a feel for the perspective of participating counties.

The final in which the third objective was assessed was to analyze the computer file data. Some of this information was reported above for the first objective. This data indicated that an increasing number of persons have been placed in Probation Subsidy since its establishment with nearly 2,000 individuals in the program in 1974.

By the end of 1974, there was a total of 2,976 reported releases; 8 in 1971, 671 in 1972, 952 in 1973 and 1,345 in 1974. Caution must be maintained in any interpretation of numbers released during given years or the total time period as compared to numbers assigned: as pointed out below, only those releases during the four year period who had also been assigned during the four year period were counted.

Of the total 4,898 reported assignments to Probation Subsidy, 1,195 (24.4%) were female and 3,703 (75.6%) were male. In both cases the range in age was from 8-18 years. Data in Table 2 show that in both the male and female populations, the 15 and 16 year-old groups combined account for slightly over 52% of the population. When examining Table 2 in reference to type of "offensive assignment" (that offense which directly attributed to the assignment of the juvenile to Probation Subsidy), it can be seen that "ungovernable" behavior and "running away" (status offenses) account for 28.6% and 25.7% of female assignments to Probation Subsidy. For males, burglary, larceny (all except shoplifting) and auto theft (Property offenses) account for 26.9%, 11.1%, and 12.9% of all assignments respectively. The next largest group of offenses for females is property offenses; for males it is status offenses. Sex, age at assignment, and "offense of assignment"

for assignments by race (white, black, Indian, other) are shown in Appendices C1 through C4. This data indicate that a wide range of types of offenders have been placed in Probation Subsidy.

Assignments by sex, age at assignment, and service needs are shown in Table 3. Approximately 94% of all assignments to Probation Subsidy have a need for individual counseling. Individual counseling required by the client is reported for approximately 40% of the juveniles than the next highest need area. In general, both male and female assignments to the program have parental counseling, family counseling, revised educational program and cultural or recreational activity needs as the next four largest need areas. The need for foster home placement as a service is reported for nearly twice as many female assignments as male assignments. Twenty-one percent of the females assigned to Probation Subsidy are reported to need foster home placement, in contrast to 9.5% of the males.

Assignments by race, sex, and year of assignment are shown in Table 4. Of the total 4,898 assignments to Probation Subsidy; 4,291 (87.6%) were white, 341 (6.9%) were black, 125 (2.6%) were Indian, and 141 (2.9%) were "other". The distribution of percentages of males and females by race are similar.

The distribution of assignments to Probation Subsidy by county of assignment and service needs are shown in Table 5. King and Pierce Counties with 853 (17.4%) and 807 (16.5%) assignments respectively account for approximately one-third (1/3) of the total assignments to the program. The impact of service needs indicated by these two counties, as related

to the service needs of all other counties, can be seen quite clearly; e.g., King County reports financial assistance as a service need for 220 of a total of 853 assignments. This represents 25.8% of their total assignments; a percentage much higher than that shown by any other single county. Table 6 shows service needs at assignment by year of assignment for all assignments to the program. A high degree of consistency in the type of service needs required by clients assigned for each of the different years within the four year time period can be seen. Appendices D1 and D2 present the same data for females and males individually. Appendices D3 through D6 show the same data for race and Appendices D7 through D12 show the data by offense category. In all cases, service needs in the various counties are not substantially different.

Data pertaining to assignments to Juvenile Probation Subsidy during calendar years 1971 through 1974 by frequency of offense of assignment and year of assignment are shown in Table 7. For all four years, between 60% and 66% of the admissions have had a reported commitment offense frequency of "once" indicated; i.e., whatever the offense of assignment, it was shown to have been committed only once. Between 18% and 20% of the clients are shown as having committed their offense of assignment "twice". Between 7% and 10% of the clients are shown as having committed the offense three times. Percentages of clients with offense frequencies of more than three dropped dramatically.

The assignments to Probation Subsidy by the total "court known referrals" reported prior to assignment by the year of assignment are shown in Table 8. Of the 4,898 assignments during the time period, 24.9% had been

referred to the court one time, 20.9% had been referred twice, 18.3% had been referred three times, and 12.7% had been referred four times.

Data pertaining to "reason for release" for releases by county are shown in Table 9. Of the 2,976 total releases, 1,459 (49.0%) were released as "adjustment adequate to warrant discharge" and 337 (11.3%) were committed to a juvenile institution. King and Pierce Counties with 395 (13.3%) and 468 (15.7%) account for approximately one-third of all releases.

Releases from Juvenile Probation Subsidy by services provided and year of release are shown in Table 10. Approximately 55.9% of the total releases were provided individual counseling. This service was provided for more releases than any other service; the next most frequently provided services being parental counseling (11.6%). The same data for females and males are shown in Appendices E1 and E2. Data for race are shown in Appendices E3 through E6, and data by offense grouping are shown in Appendices E7 through E12. Table 11 shows releases by commitment offense and length of stay. The mean length of stay for all releases is 8.8 months. These findings indicate that large numbers of services have been given to probationers in the Subsidy Program.

Table 12 shows "case rating at assignment" by "case ratings" at release for certain behavior manifestations. For those clients with an unsatisfactory case rating at time of assignment, 25% to 37% showed no change. Seventeen to 47% of the youths moved from unsatisfactory to marginal and from 18% to 42% moved from unsatisfactory to satisfactory. For those who

were marginal at the time of assignment, 32 to 47% showed no change at the time of release; 3% to 17% dropped to unsatisfactory, and 36% to 54% were rated satisfactory. Those were rated as satisfactory at the time of assignment, 71% to 90% showed no change, 7% to 18% dropped down to marginal and 6% to 7% dropped to unsatisfactory.

Table 13 shows the number of service needs and services provided in addition to individual counseling. Of the total of 4,898 assignments, 4,596 (93.8%) were shown as needing individual counseling while 302 (6.2%) were shown as having no need for individual counseling. In addition, 2,821 (57.6%) were shown to need no more than three services other than individual counseling. Individual counseling was provided for 1,664 (55.9%) of all releases; 1,825 (61.3%) received no service other than individual counseling.

Table 14 shows the type of commitment offense by type of offenses committed during the program, if any. Offenses are grouped into six major categories; driving, drugs, person, property, status, and other. To reiterate a previous point, commitment offense is that offense which directly resulted in the juvenile's assignment to the Probation Subsidy Program. Data in the table shows that for offenders (with the exception of person and property offenders) who had committed offenses during the program participation, the largest percentage were either "other" offenses or "status" offenses which are generally non-violent and misdemeanor offenses. Of those persons with a "person" commitment offense, only 37 (13.7%) subsequently committed a "person" offense during program participation, while 88 (32.6%) committed "property" offenses. For property offenders with

subsequent offenses during the program, 715 (33.6%) committed property offenses, 576 (27.1%) committed "other" offenses and 490 (23%) committed status offenses. It must be remembered that these data represent the number of offenses committed during the program and not the number of persons who committed offenses. A given juvenile within any one of the commitment offense categories may have contributed to the numbers in more than one of the "during program" offense categories.

Data in Table 15 shows the commitment offenses by number of clients committing offenses during program participation. Of the 2,976 releases, 1,342 (45.1%) had committed offenses during the time that they were participating in the Probation Subsidy Program. Of the 2,976 releases, 1,634 (54.9%) had committed no offenses during the time that they participated in the program. Of those who did commit offenses while in the program, 1,547 (52%) committed only one offense, 29 (1%) committed two offenses, 29 (1%) committed three offenses, and 29 (1%) committed four offenses.

Discussion of Findings

Specific objectives for the Probation Subsidy Program were defined by the state and county directors involved in establishing programs. The first of these objectives was to reduce commitment rates to state juvenile rehabilitation facilities by 25% or more in participating counties. Data presented in Figure 1, Figure 2, and Table 1 show that this objective has been met. First and re-admissions to Cascadia Reception Diagnostic Center have dropped dramatically since implementation of the Probation Subsidy Program in July of 1970. Data indicate an increase of 70 first and re-admissions between 1973 and 1974; however, available data also show an

increase in direct discharges (discharges from CRDC climbed from 11 in FY 1972 to a total of 117 in 1974). Juvenile Probation Subsidy diagnostic commitments which result in direct discharges from CRDC undoubtedly comprise a large percentage of these releases since this is by far the largest number of direct discharges shown in the ten year history of CRDC and is in direct coordination with the beginning of the "diagnostic commitment" for Probation Subsidy. These data indicate that the first objective of Probation Subsidy (to reduce commitments to juvenile rehabilitation facilities by 25% or more in participating counties) has been met. The reduction in commitments is highly unlikely to be able to be explained by any chance factor. In actuality, commitment rates from participating counties have been reduced by more than 50%.

The data obtained from the DSHS Operations Review report shows that the second objective, to reduce overall costs to the State for rehabilitating selected delinquent youth, has also been met. It is estimated that there is a savings of \$18,988 per average commitment.

There is subjective report information from a large sampling of participating counties which supports the success of the third goal: reduced probation officer caseloads, and funds in purchasing additional services. Finally, the ample program descriptive information that the Subsidy Program has been implemented statewide and is treating large number of clients within the range of services as intended. Although the quality and the degree of success of these services is beyond the scope of this evaluation, the program appears to be operating as intended.

By way of general conclusions, it is clear that the Juvenile Probation Subsidy Program has been established on a statewide basis with 31 out of 39 counties participating. It is providing services where none previously existed, appears to have upgraded pre-existing services, and has had a pronounced effect on commitment rates to juvenile institutions resulting in significant financial savings to the State.

Methodological Considerations

Although the existing data system for the Probation Subsidy Program provides a great deal of information concerning the client and his level of program participation, there were some complicating factors that made it difficult to conduct an evaluation of program performance to date. Data are not available on "regular" county probation caseloads. The Probation Subsidy data system was not implemented until March 1971 and, as a result, activities during 1970 cannot be examined. Delays in submission of program documents and data processing have combined to produce a rather protracted time lag. Therefore, only data pertaining to program activities through the end of 1974 were available for analyses. In addition, there are certain deficiencies in the Probation Subsidy data system (offense item coordination, identifiers inconsistent with follow-up, etc.) which must be corrected to enhance the effectiveness of the system as a "data resource" for both the continuing operation and periodic examination of the program. Furthermore, the Criminal Justice Information Sub-System developed by the Department of Social and Health Services has not been implemented; no program participation data are available at the current time. This fact alone makes it impossible for this study to "follow-up" those Probation Subsidy cases subsequently committed to juvenile institutions. Finally, there was a time limitation that restricted the depth and breadth of this review.

Due to the above factors, the data presented in this document are limited to those clients assigned to Probation Subsidy and for whom assignment reports were completed between January 1, 1971 and December 31, 1974. Data for releases, consequently, are restricted to those clients admitted and released during the above time frame.

This review suffers from the shortcoming of having limited county staff input. No field involvement was undertaken because of limitations posed by the short study time frame. In an attempt to obtain as much field input as possible, however, narrative comments were sought from the counties. Responses were received from 16 of the participating counties. Financial data available for use during the evaluation was obtained from the DSHS Operations Review Office.

It is suggested that in the future in order to complete a more thorough evaluation, four basic needs should be met. First, the Probation Subsidy information system needs to be updated; the relationship between specific items within the system as well as the relationship between the overall system and other pertinent information systems has to be reaffirmed. Second, the DSHS Criminal Justice Information System must be made fully operational so that "follow-up" studies of juveniles entering DSHS for diagnosis or commitment can be completed. Third, time must be made available so that evaluative efforts can be carried out in the field. Fourth, some type of comparison group is needed to assess whether or not Subsidy Program participants are involved in subsequent and/or repeat offenses less often than non-participants. It should be recognized, however, that establishing suitable comparison groups entails overcoming formidable methodological, logistical, and possibly ethical problems. These efforts should be concerned

with an objective examination of the "regular" probation caseload as well as an in-depth analysis of the specific impacts of Probation Subsidy at the county level.

APPENDIX A

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES
WASHINGTON STATE JUVENILE COURTS
PROBATION SUBSIDY PROGRAM

ASSIGNMENT REPORT



COUNTY

CHILD'S NAME & NUMBER

1

2

PROBATION OFFICER

DATE OF BIRTH

SEX:

RACE:

3

4

MO	DAY	YR
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

5 1 MALE
 2 FEMALE

6 1 WHITE 2 NEGRO
 3 INDIAN 4 OTHER

DATE OF ASSIGNMENT

MO	DAY	YR
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

11 NEED FOR SERVICES INDICATED

(Check all applicable)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING | <input type="checkbox"/> FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GROUP COUNSELING | <input type="checkbox"/> EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FAMILY COUNSELING | <input type="checkbox"/> VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PARENTAL COUNSELING | <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PARENTAL GROUP COUNSELING | <input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> TUTORING | <input type="checkbox"/> MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> VOLUNTEER SERVICES | <input type="checkbox"/> DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING | <input type="checkbox"/> FINANCIAL AID |
| <input type="checkbox"/> GROUP HOME PLACEMENT | <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) _____ |

8 ASSIGNED BY:

1 COURT 2 STAFF

9 REFERRAL HISTORY

Enter the total number of referrals known to the Court that resulted in each of the following dispositions. If number is nine or more, enter a 9. Include the child's most recent referral.

ADJUSTED AT INTAKE

INFORMAL PROBATION

FORMAL PROBATION

10 OFFENSES COMMITTED PRIOR TO ASSIGNMENT

In the boxes provided, list offenses of this juvenile known to the Court. For each offense listed, also indicate the number of occurrences. Chronological order of offenses is not necessary, except that the first entry should reflect the offense that resulted in the child's assignment to the Subsidy program.

CODE	# OF TIMES
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

OFFENSE CODES

- | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| 01 MURDER AND NON-NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER | 15 SELLING DRUGS: NARCOTIC |
| 02 MANSLAUGHTER BY NEGLIGENCE | 16 SELLING DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC |
| 03 ROBBERY: PURSE SNATCHING BY FORCE | 17 POSSESSING OR DRINKING OF LIQUOR |
| 04 ROBBERY: ALL EXCEPT PURSE SNATCHING | 18 DISORDERLY CONDUCT |
| 05 ASSAULT: AGGRAVATED | 19 VIOLATION OF CURFEW |
| 06 ASSAULT: ALL EXCEPT AGGRAVATED | 20 VANDALISM |
| 07 BURGLARY - BREAKING OR ENTERING | 21 DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED |
| 08 LARCENY: SHOPLIFTING | 22 HIT AND RUN |
| 09 LARCENY: ALL EXCEPT SHOPLIFTING | 23 RECKLESS DRIVING |
| 10 AUTO THEFT | 24 DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE |
| 11 FORCIBLE RAPE | 25 UNGOVERNABLE BEHAVIOR |
| 12 SEX OFFENSES (EXCEPT FORCIBLE RAPE) | 26 RUNNING AWAY |
| 13 POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS: NARCOTIC | 27 TRUANCY |
| 14 POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC | 99 OTHER (SPECIFY) _____ |

12 CASE RATING (Enter one code for each category)

- 0 INAPPLICABLE 1 SATISFACTORY
2 MARGINAL 3 UNSATISFACTORY

- | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| GENERAL PERSONAL FUNCTIONING | <input type="checkbox"/> | SCHOOL FUNCTIONING | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND GROOMING | <input type="checkbox"/> | EMPLOYMENT FUNCTIONING | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| RELATIONSHIP WITH FAMILY | <input type="checkbox"/> | COMMUNITY ADJUSTMENT | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| ADJUSTMENT WITHIN LIVING SITUATION | <input type="checkbox"/> | CLIENT ATTITUDE TOWARD PROGRAM | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| PEER RELATIONSHIPS | <input type="checkbox"/> | FAMILY ATTITUDE TOWARD PROGRAM | <input type="checkbox"/> |

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR COUNTY USE

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES
WASHINGTON STATE JUVENILE COURTS
PROBATION SUBSIDY PROGRAM

RELEASE REPORT



COUNTY

1

CHILD'S NAME & NUMBER

2

3 DATE OF RELEASE

MO DAY YR

4 REASON FOR RELEASE

- 01 ADJUSTMENT ADEQUATE TO WARRANT DISCHARGE
- 02 MAXIMUM AGE
- 03 TO OTHER PROBATION CASELOAD
- 04 COMMITTED TO JUVENILE REHABILITATION INSTITUTION
- 05 TO ARMED SERVICES
- 06 TO COURT FOR COMMITMENT TO FACILITY FOR MENTALLY RETARDED
- 07 TO COURT FOR COMMITMENT TO MENTAL HOSPITAL
- 08 TO OTHER STATE
- 09 TO OTHER INSTITUTION
- 10 TO COURT AS AN ADULT
- 11 WHEREABOUTS UNKNOWN
- 12 DEATH OF JUVENILE
- 13 OTHER

5 DID JUVENILE BENEFIT FROM PROGRAM?

- 0 INAPPLICABLE
- 1 YES
- 2 NO

6 OFFENSES COMMITTED DURING PARTICIPATION IN PROGRAM

In the boxes provided, list offenses committed by the juvenile while assigned to the Subsidy program. For each offense listed, also indicate the number of occurrences. Include offenses even if they were not handled as re-referrals.

CODE	# OF TIMES
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

OFFENSE CODES

- 01 MURDER AND NON-NEGLIGENT MANSLAUGHTER
- 02 MANSLAUGHTER BY NEGLIGENCE
- 03 ROBBERY: PURSE SNATCHING BY FORCE
- 04 ROBBERY: ALL EXCEPT PURSE SNATCHING
- 05 ASSAULT: AGGRAVATED
- 06 ASSAULT: ALL EXCEPT AGGRAVATED
- 07 BURGLARY - BREAKING OR ENTERING
- 08 LARCENY: SHOPLIFTING
- 09 LARCENY: ALL EXCEPT SHOPLIFTING
- 10 AUTO THEFT
- 11 FORCIBLE RAPE
- 12 SEX OFFENSES (ALL EXCEPT FORCIBLE RAPE)
- 13 POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS: NARCOTIC
- 14 POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC
- 15 SELLING DRUGS: NARCOTIC
- 16 SELLING DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC
- 17 POSSESSING OR DRINKING OF LIQUOR
- 18 DISORDERLY CONDUCT
- 19 VIOLATION OF CURFEW
- 20 VANDALISM
- 21 DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED
- 22 HIT AND RUN
- 23 RECKLESS DRIVING
- 24 DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE
- 25 UNGOVERNABLE BEHAVIOR
- 26 RUNNING AWAY
- 27 TRUANCY
- 99 OTHER (SPECIFY) _____

7 SERVICES PROVIDED (For each service provided, determine who provided the service, then enter the appropriate frequency and effectiveness code)

SERVICE PROVIDED BY COURT:

	EFFECTIVE	INEFFECTIVE
REGULARLY	1	2
OCCASIONALLY	3	4

SERVICE PROVIDED BY OTHER AGENCY:

	EFFECTIVE	INEFFECTIVE
REGULARLY	5	6
OCCASIONALLY	7	8

- INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING -
- GROUP COUNSELING -
- FAMILY COUNSELING -
- PARENTAL COUNSELING -
- PARENTAL GROUP COUNSELING -
- TUTORING -
- VOLUNTEER SERVICES -
- PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING -
- GROUP HOME PLACEMENT -
- FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT -
- EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE -
- VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING -
- REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM -
- CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY -
- MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID -
- DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM -
- FINANCIAL AID -
- OTHER (SPECIFY) -

8 CASE RATING (Enter one code for each category)

- 0 INAPPLICABLE
- 1 SATISFACTORY
- 2 MARGINAL
- 3 UNSATISFACTORY

- GENERAL PERSONAL FUNCTIONING -
- PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND GROOMING -
- RELATIONSHIP WITH FAMILY ADJUSTMENT WITHIN LIVING SITUATION -
- PEER RELATIONSHIPS -
- SCHOOL FUNCTIONING -
- EMPLOYMENT FUNCTIONING -
- COMMUNITY ADJUSTMENT -
- CLIENT ATTITUDE TOWARD PROGRAM -
- FAMILY ATTITUDE TOWARD PROGRAM -

ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR COUNTY USE





FIGURE 1

FIRST AND RE-ADMISSIONS TO CASCADIA RECEPTION-DIAGNOSTIC CENTER
DURING FISCAL YEARS 1965 THROUGH 1974



1970 1971 1972 1973 1974

FIGURE 2

TABLE 1

JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY PARTICIPANTS DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971 THROUGH 1974 WITH BASE COMMITMENT RATES, EXPECTED COMMITMENTS BY YEAR, CHARGEABLE COMMITMENTS BY YEAR, AND COMMITMENT REDUCTION NUMBER BY YEAR

PARTICIPATING COUNTIES	BASE COMMITMENT RATE	EXPECTED COMMITMENTS JANUARY-DECEMBER					CHARGEABLE COMMITMENTS					COMMITMENT REDUCTION NUMBER				
		1971	1972	1973	1974	Total	1971	1972	1973	1974	Total	1971	1972	1973	1974	Total
		++HADAMS	39.45	--	--	5	5	10	--	--	4	1	5	--	--	1
BENTON-FRANKLIN	46.58	44	44	44	45	177	16	16	11	13	56	28	28	33	32	121
CHELAN	66.69	28	28	28	28	112	16	11	19.5	13.5	60	12	17	8.5	14.5	52
CLALLAM-JEFFERSON	45.27	21	21	21	21	84	8	6	6	10	36	13	9	15	11	48
CLARK	40.88	53	54	55	58	720	34	27	45	32.5	138.5	19	27	10	25.5	81.5
COWLITZ	47.72	33	34	34	34	135	15	17	22.5	32	86.5	18	17	11.5	2	48.5
GRANT	35.64	15	16	16	15	62	9	8	10	3	30	6	8	6	2	32
*GRAYS HARBOR	50.77	15.5	31	31	31	108.5	9	16	22	15.5	62.5	6.5	15	9	15.5	46
ISLAND	35.64	10	10	10	10	40	6	4	3	7	20	4	6	7	3	30
KING	39.66	462	458	458	458	1836	163	102	87.5	154	506.5	299	356	370.5	304	1329.5
KITSAP	35.64	38	37	37	37	149	16	17	19	14	66	22	20	18	23	83
KLICKITAT	35.64	5	5	5	5	20	0	4	3.5	3	10.5	5	1	1.5	2	9.5
LEWIS	35.64	17	17	17	16	67	8	15	6.5	7	36.5	9	2	10.5	9	30.5
++LINCOLN	35.64	--	--	3	3	6	--	--	0	0	0	--	--	3	3	6
MASON	43.94	9	9	10	9	37	4	4	8	2.5	18.5	5	5	2	6.5	18.5
++OKANOGAN/FERRY	35.64	11	11	--	--	22	3	3	--	--	6	8	8	--	--	16
*PACIFIC-WAHIKUM	57.97	5.5	11	11	10	37.5	1	1	4	2.5	8.5	4.5	10	7	7.5	29
PIERCE	43.76	183	178	178	181	720	112	140	124	113	489	71	38	54	68	231
SAN JUAN	35.64	1.4	1.4	3	1	6.9	0	0	0	1	1	1.4	1.4	3	0	5.9
SKAGIT	43.03	23	23	23	23	92	7	9	8.5	14	38.5	16	14	14.5	9	53.5
SNOMISH	35.64	96	96	96	96	384	45	40	43	53	181	51	50	53	43	203
SPOKANE	39.41	115	116	116	117	464	63	65	57	46.5	231.5	52	51	59	70.5	232.5
++STEVENS-PEND ORELLI	49.73	--	--	12	12	24	--	--	8	5	13	--	--	4	7	11
**THURSTON	35.64	--	14.5	29	30	73.5	--	10	14	18	42	--	4.5	15	12	31.5
WALLA WALLA-COLUMELA	44.83	21	21	21	21	84	9	12	10.5	8.5	40	12	9	10.5	12.5	44
*WHATCOM	41.74	17.5	36	36	36	125.5	8	16	6.5	13	43.5	9.5	20	29.5	23	82
+*WHITMAN	35.64	7	14	14	14	49	2	4	1.5	2	9.5	5	10	12.5	12	39.5
TOTAL		1230.5	1286	1313	1317	5146.5	554	553	544.5	584.5	2236	676.9	732	768.5	731.5	2908.9

* Participated only 1/2 year in 1971 (July-December)
 ** Participated only 1/2 year in 1972 (July-December).
 + Terminated program March 1972.
 ++ Did not participate until 1973.
 +++ Terminated Program December 1972.

NOTE: Chargeable commitments - diagnostic counted as 1/2 commitment, regular counted as one (1) full commitment.

TABLE 2

ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGNMENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT															
	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
08																
09																
10				1			2									
11						1		3	1				1			
12				1	1		11	11	1	1		4	1	2	1	
13				2	4	2	18	19	6	3		1	5	8	2	1
14				4	5	4	13	18	6	8		1	6	14	1	1
15				2	3	5	13	23	11	3		2	11	8	1	3
16			1	2	2	2	5	4	8	2		1	3	7		2
17			1	2												
18												1				
FEMALE #	1	2	2	12	15	17	63	78	40	23	1	8	27	39	4	8
FEMALE %	.08	.17		1	1.3	1.4	5.3	6.5	3.4	1.9	.08	.67	2.3	3.3	.33	.67
08							1									
09							2	3	1		1					
10				1			8	3	5							
11					1	2	21	4	8	2						
12				2		22	22	7	23	8						
13			3	3	2	8	91	9	29	29		3		6		
14			4	8	6	15	178	22	61	103	1	6	4	21	5	4
15			5	21	10	19	252	25	96	139	3	5	13	32	7	7
16			6	26	11	22	248	23	101	130	3	9	26	65	10	15
17			3	16	10	22	168	11	82	66	2	4	17	71	2	10
18				1	1	5			4	1			1			
MALE #	8	21	21	78	41	88	996	107	410	478	10	27	61	195	24	36
MALE %	.22	.57		2.1	1.1	2.4	26.9	2.9	11.1	12.9	.27	.73	1.7	5.3	.65	.97
TOTAL #	9	23	1.8	90	56	105	1059	185	450	501	11	35	88	234	28	44
TOTAL %	.18	.47		1.1	2.1	21.6	3.8	9.2	10.2	.22	.71	1.8	4.8	.57	.09	.9

TABLE 2 (CONTINUED)

ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGNMENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT																	TOTAL #	TOTAL %	
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	99	UK							
08																	2	2	.2	
09																		1	1	.1
10																		3	3	.3
11																	1	10	.8	
12	2		2	2				2	6								2	28	2.3	
13	2	2		2				4	31								6	119	10.0	
14	10	1	1				4	70	75								13	262	21.9	
15	7	2	3	2			1	95	93								9	320	26.8	
16	7	1	3	1			1	93	79								17	306	25.6	
17	6		1	1				45	28								4	141	11.8	
						2			1								1	3	.3	
FEMALE #	34	6	10	8		2	6	342	307		38	61	43	1195						
FEMALE %	2.9	.5	.84	.67		.17	.5	28.6	25.7		3.2	5.1	3.6							
08				1														4	1	.1
09																		10	3	.3
10				1														25	1	.7
11		1		3														53	1	1.4
12			1	9				14	3									108	4	2.9
13	7	2	2	14				22	9									273	3	7.4
14	8	3	2	21				57	33		18	30	16	631				631	16	17.0
15	21	8		21	1		2	90	44		12	44	19	907				907	19	24.5
16	48	7	4	18	2		6	97	41		11	57	24	1024				1024	24	27.7
17	32	5		10	5			39	15			40	15	651				651	15	17.6
18									2					17				17	1	.5
MALE #	116	26	9	98	8	4	17	324	148		55	218	84	3703						
MALE %	3.1	.7	.24	2.7	.22	.11	.46	8.8	4		1.5	5.9	2.3							
TOTAL #	150	32	19	106	8	4	23	666	455		93	279	127	4898						
TOTAL %	3.1	.65	.39	2.2	.16	.08	.47	13.6	9.3		1.9	5.7	2.6							

TABLE 2 (cont'd.)

- 01 = Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter
- 02 = Manslaughter by Negligence
- 03 = Robbery: Purse Snatching by Force
- 04 = Robbery: All Except Purse Snatching
- 05 = Assault: Aggravated
- 06 = Assault: All Except Aggravated
- 07 = Burglary - Breaking or Entering
- 08 = Larceny: Shoplifting
- 09 = Larceny: All Except Shoplifting
- 10 = Auto Theft
- 11 = Forcible Rape
- 12 = Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)
- 13 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: Narcotic
- 14 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 15 = Selling Drugs: Narcotic
- 16 = Selling Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 17 = Possessing or Drinking of Liquor
- 18 = Disorderly Conduct
- 19 = Violation of Curfew
- 20 = Vandalism
- 21 = Driving While Intoxicated
- 22 = Hit and Run
- 23 = Reckless Driving
- 24 = Driving Without a License
- 25 = Ungovernable Behavior
- 26 = Running Away
- 27 = Truancy
- 99 = Other (Specify)
- UK = Unknown

APPENDIX C1

WHITE ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
08																
09				1			2									
10							1		1				1			
11								1								
12								8	6	5		4	1	2	1	1
13				2	2		10	17	6	3		4	4	8	2	1
14				1	1		12	14	6	8		5	5	13		1
15				2			8	16	10	2		1	11	8	1	3
16				1	1		5	1	8	2			3	7		2
17		1	1	8	4	7	56	57	38	21	1	5	25	38	4	8
FEMALE #		.10	.10	.77	.38	.67	5.4	5.5	3.7	2.0	.10	.48	2.4	3.7	.38	.77
FEMALE %																
08							1									
09							2		1							
10				1			7	3	4							
11							18	4	8	2						
12							19	5	22	8						
13				1	2	6	77	5	23	25		3		6		
14				2	7	9	153	17	49	91	1	6	4	20	4	4
15				2	12	13	220	23	80	130	2	5	12	31	7	5
16				3	21	21	223	16	93	117	2	8	24	60	10	11
17				2	10	14	144	8	72	61	2	4	17	66	2	10
18				1	1	4	4		4				1			
MALE #		7	10	55	27	65	868	83	356	434	8	26	58	183	23	30
MALE %		.22	.31	1.7	.83	2.0	26.7	2.6	10.9	13.4	.25	.80	1.8	56.3	.71	.92
TOTAL #		8	11	63	31	72	924	140	394	455	9	31	83	221	27	38
TOTAL %		.19	.26	1.5	.72	1.7	21.5	3.3	9.2	10.6	.21	.72	1.9	5.2	.63	.89

APPENDIX C1 (CONTINUED)

WHITE ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGNMENT											TOTAL				
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	99	UK	#	%
08													2	2	
09														1	
10														2	
11													1	6	
12			2	2				4	2	2	2	3	2	23	
13		2		2				30	22	4	6	6	2	108	
14		1					3	65	69	4	9	9	10	236	
15		2	3	1			1	83	84	14	7	7	12	276	
16		5	1	1			1	89	70	9	11	11	9	260	
17		5	1	1				44	26	2	8	8	4	127	
FEMALE #	30	5	7	7			2	315	275	35	44	44	42	1041	24.3
FEMALE %	2.9	.48	.67	.67			.19	.48	30.3	26.4	3.4	4.2	4.0		
08				1					1					3	
09												3		9	
10				1				2	2	2	2	2	1	23	
11				3				2	2	1	6	6	1	49	
12			1	9				14	2	5	5	5	4	95	
13		2	2	13				18	9	5	21	21	2	229	
14		3	2	21				52	30	16	29	29	15	550	
15		7	7	19		1		80	39	12	37	37	17	791	
16		5	4	18		2	6	89	38	11	52	52	23	923	
17		4	4	10		5		31	12	33	33	33	14	563	
MALE #	108	22	9	95	8	3	16	288	133	52	189	189	78	3250	75.7
MALE %	3.3	.68	.28	2.9	.25	.09	.49	8.9	4.1	1.6	5.8	5.8	.03		
TOTAL #	138	27	16	102	8	3	18	603	408	87	233	233	120	4291	
TOTAL %	3.2	.63	.37	2.4	.19	.07	.42	14.1	9.5	2.0	5.4	5.4	2.8		

appendix C1

- 01 = Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter
- 02 = Manslaughter by Negligence
- 03 = Robbery: Purse Snatching by Force
- 04 = Robbery: All Except Purse Snatching
- 05 = Assault: Aggravated
- 06 = Assault: All Except Aggravated
- 07 = Burglary - Breaking or Entering
- 08 = Larceny: Shoplifting
- 09 = Larceny: All Except Shoplifting
- 10 = Auto Theft
- 11 = Forcible Rape
- 12 = Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)
- 13 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: Narcotic
- 14 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 15 = Selling Drugs: Narcotic
- 16 = Selling Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 17 = Possessing or Drinking of Liquor
- 18 = Disorderly Conduct
- 19 = Violation of Curfew
- 20 = Vandalism
- 21 = Driving While Intoxicated
- 22 = Hit and Run
- 23 = Reckless Driving
- 24 = Driving Without a License
- 25 = Ungovernable Behavior
- 26 = Running Away
- 27 = Truancy
- 99 = Other (Specify)
- UK = Unknown

APPENDIX C2

BLACK ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT													TOTAL	
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	99	UK	#	%
10										1				1	
12														2	
13								1		2				8	
14			1					2		3	2			13	
15	1							4		4		2		27	
16		1	2					1		5		5		30	
17											3			8	
18											1			2	
FEMALE #	1	1	3					8	15	2	11			91	26.7
FEMALE %	1.1	1.1	3.3					8.8	16.5	2.2	12.1				
09														1	
10														1	
11								1						4	
12									1	1	3			8	
13				1				2		4	4		1	33	
14								3	2	1	1			49	
15							1	3	2		3			58	
16		1						4			1		1	49	
17								3			1			46	
18														1	
MALE #		2		2				16	5	2	13		2	250	73.3
MALE %		.8		.8				6.4	2.0	.8	5.2		.8		
TOTAL #	1	3	3	2				24	20	4	24		2	341	
TOTAL %	.29	.88	.88	.59				.29	7.0	1.2	7.0		.59		

APPENDIX C2 (CONTINUED)

BLACK ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT															
	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
10								2								
12						1	1	3								
13					2			2					1			
14					4	2	1	4				1		1		
15			3		3	3	1	7				1				
16			1	1	1	1		2								
17																
18																
FEMALE #	1	4	10	7	3	20						3	1	1		
FEMALE %	1.1	4.4	10.9	7.7	3.3	21.9						3.3	1.1	1.1		
09								1								
10									1							
11							3									
12							1	1								
13							6	4	6							
14			2	1	3	4	18	1	5	4						1
15			3	8	2	5	15	2	7	2			1			
16			3	3	1	1	17	5	4	6		1				
17				5	2	6	15	3	5	5						
18							1									
MALE #	9	18	8	18	76	17	29	25	1	1	1	1	1	3	1	
MALE %	3.6	7.2	3.2	7.2	30.4	6.8	11.6	10.0	.4	.4	.4	.4	.4	1.2	.4	
TOTAL #	10	22	18	25	79	37	29	25	1	4	2	4	2	4	1	
TOTAL %	2.9	6.5	5.3	7.3	23.2	10.9	8.5	7.3	.29	1.2	.59	1.2	.59	1.2	.29	

APPENDIX C2

- 01 = Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter
- 02 = Manslaughter by Negligence
- 03 = Robbery: Purse Snatching by Force
- 04 = Robbery: All Except Purse Snatching
- 05 = Assault: Aggravated
- 06 = Assault: All Except Aggravated
- 07 = Burglary - Breaking or Entering
- 08 = Larceny: Shoplifting
- 09 = Larceny: All Except Shoplifting
- 10 = Auto Theft
- 11 = Forcible Rape
- 12 = Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)
- 13 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: Narcotic
- 14 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 15 = Selling Drugs: Narcotic
- 16 = Selling Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 17 = Possessing or Drinking of Liquor
- 18 = Disorderly Conduct
- 19 = Violation of Curfew
- 20 = Vandalism
- 21 = Driving While Intoxicated
- 22 = Hit and Run
- 23 = Reckless Driving
- 24 = Driving Without a License
- 25 = Ungovernable Behavior
- 26 = Running Away
- 27 = Truancy
- 99 = Other (Specify)
- UK = Unknown

APPENDIX C3

INDIAN ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT															
	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
11																
12																
13						1			1							
14						2										
15																
16							3									
17																
18																
FEMALE #						3	3		1	2						
FEMALE %						9.4	9.4		3.1	6.3						
10							1									
12							1									
13							3									
14						1	4		3	3						
15	1			1		1	10		4	4						1
16					2		3	1	2	5	1		2	1		
17						2	2		2	2			2	3		
18										1						
MALE #	1	1	1	1	2	4	24	1	11	13	1	2	2	4	1	1
MALE %	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	2.2	4.3	25.8	1.1	11.8	13.9	1.1	2.2	2.2	4.3	1.1	1.1
TOTAL #	1	1	1	1	2	7	27	1	12	15	1	2	2	4	1	1
TOTAL %	.8	.8	.8	.8	1.6	5.6	21.6	.8	9.6	12.0	.8	1.6	1.6	3.2	.8	.8

APPENDIX C3
(cont'd.)

INDIAN ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT											TOTAL			
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	99	UK	#	%
11									1					1	
12									1					1	
13														3	
14									3	2		1		8	
15									3	2	1			6	
16									2	1		1		10	
17	2								1			1		2	
18										1				1	
FEMALE #	2								11	6	1	3		32	25.6
FEMALE %	6.3								34.4	18.8	3.1	9.4			
10														1	
12														2	
13														5	
14	1								1					14	
15	2			1					4			1		30	
16	2								1	2		1		23	
17	3								2			1		17	
18														1	
MALE #	8	1		1					9	2	1	4	1	93	74.4
MALE %	8.6	1.1		1.1					9.7	2.2	1.1	4.3	1.1		
TOTAL #	10	1		1					20	8	2	7	1	125	
TOTAL %	8.0	.8		.8					16.0	6.4	1.6	5.6	.8		

APPENDIX C3

- 01 = Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter
- 02 = Manslaughter by Negligence
- 03 = Robbery: Purse Snatching by Force
- 04 = Robbery: All Except Purse Snatching
- 05 = Assault: Aggravated
- 06 = Assault: All Except Aggravated
- 07 = Burglary - Breaking or Entering
- 08 = Larceny: Shoplifting
- 09 = Larceny: All Except Shoplifting
- 10 = Auto Theft
- 11 = Forcible Rape
- 12 = Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)
- 13 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: Narcotic
- 14 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 15 = Selling Drugs: Narcotic
- 16 = Selling Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 17 = Possessing or Drinking of Liquor
- 18 = Disorderly Conduct
- 19 = Violation of Curfew
- 20 = Vandalism
- 21 = Driving While Intoxicated
- 22 = Hit and Run
- 23 = Reckless Driving
- 24 = Driving Without a License
- 25 = Ungovernable Behavior
- 26 = Running Away
- 27 = Truancy
- 99 = Other (Specify)
- UK = Unknown

APPENDIX C4

"OTHER" ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT															
	01	02	03	04	05	06	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
11																
12					1											
14																
15							1		1				1			
16								1								
17																
FEMALE #					1		1	1	1				1			
FEMALE %					3.2		3.2	3.2	3.2				3.2			
08																
12							1	1								
13							5									
14			1			1	3	4	4					1		
15					1		7		5					3		
16				2	2		5	1	2					3		
17				1	1		7		3					1		
MALE #	1	4	1	4	4	1	28	6	14	6				5		
MALE %	.9	3.6	.9	3.6	3.6	.9	25.5	5.5	12.7	5.5			4.6	4.6		
TOTAL #	1	4	1	5	5	1	29	7	15	6			1	5		
TOTAL %	.7	2.8	.7	3.6	3.6	.7	20.6	4.9	10.6	4.3			.7	3.6		3.6

APPENDIX C4 (cont'd.)

"OTHER" ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT

SEX AND ASSIGN- MENT AGE	OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT											TOTAL			
	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	99	UK	#	%
11									1	2				3	
12									1					2	
14							1			1		3		5	
15				1					5	3			1	11	
16									1	3				6	
17										2				4	
FEMALE #	1			1			1		8	11		3	1	31	21.9
FEMALE %	3.2			3.2			3.2		25.8	35.5		9.7	3.2		
08												1		1	
12														3	
13														6	
14						1			1	1				18	
15									3	3		3	2	28	
16			1						3	1		3		29	
17									3	3		5	1	25	
MALE #		1				1			11	8		12	3	110	
MALE %	.9					.9			10.0	7.3		10.9	2.7		
TOTAL #	1	1		1		1	1	1	19	19		15	4	141	78.0
TOTAL %	.7	.7		.7		.7	.7	.7	13.5	13.5		10.6	2.8		

APPENDIX C4

- 01 = Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter
- 02 = Manslaughter by Negligence
- 03 = Robbery: Purse Snatching by Force
- 04 = Robbery: All Except Purse Snatching
- 05 = Assault: Aggravated
- 06 = Assault: All Except Aggravated
- 07 = Burglary - Breaking or Entering
- 08 = Larceny: Shoplifting
- 09 = Larceny: All Except Shoplifting
- 10 = Auto Theft
- 11 = Forcible Rape
- 12 = Sex Offenses (Except Forcible Rape)
- 13 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: Narcotic
- 14 = Possession and/or use of Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 15 = Selling Drugs: Narcotic
- 16 = Selling Drugs: All Except Narcotic
- 17 = Possessing or Drinking of Liquor
- 18 = Disorderly Conduct
- 19 = Violation of Curfew
- 20 = Vandalism
- 21 = Driving While Intoxicated
- 22 = Hit and Run
- 23 = Reckless Driving
- 24 = Driving Without a License
- 25 = Ungovernable Behavior
- 26 = Running Away
- 27 = Truancy
- 99 = Other (Specify)
- UK = Unknown

TABLE 3

ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
BY SEX, ASSIGNMENT AGE, AND SERVICE NEEDS

SEX AND ASSIGNMENT AGE	TYPE OF SERVICE NEEDS													TOTAL					
	I.C.	G.C.	F.C.	P.C.	P.G.C.	T.	V.S.	P.P.C.	G.H.	F.H.P.	E.A.	V.T.C.	R.E.P.		C.R.A.	M.D.	D.R.P.	F.A.	O.
08	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	2	2
09	2	3	4	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1
10	9	17	18	20	3	14	7	7	4	7	28	13	1	5	4	5	5	1	10
11	26	49	74	73	3	24	28	35	15	15	39	54	39	47	7	7	9	3	119
12	115	179	129	134	9	30	56	68	37	54	72	67	19	98	20	8	16	10	262
13	243	499	129	134	13	30	61	88	42	72	111	60	19	140	21	13	36	4	320
14	306	68	179	153	9	41	55	84	35	56	111	60	19	138	28	18	28	13	306
15	283	53	159	139	4	9	22	31	14	24	70	48	2	57	18	7	20	6	141
16	135	22	57	57	1	1	2	3	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	3	3	3
17	3	213	623	583	39	122	232	316	150	248	305	142	488	401	100	47	119	40	1195
18	94.	17.8	52.1	48.8	3.3	10.2	19.4	26.4	12.6	20.8	25.5	11.9	40.8	33.6	8.4	3.9	9.9	3.4	3.4
FEMALE #	3	1	4	5	1	1	3	5	1	6	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	4
FEMALE %	6	1	14	15	2	2	6	8	1	8	9	1	6	3	1	1	6	1	10
08	20	2	23	31	1	9	13	15	6	8	17	9	2	11	2	2	2	5	25
09	45	9	45	71	5	17	25	27	18	17	31	12	2	26	4	2	7	6	53
10	100	38	126	136	9	40	59	71	38	30	92	63	12	105	14	3	22	8	108
11	258	78	279	319	17	92	114	158	69	63	126	52	2	248	29	12	48	16	273
12	600	110	372	439	26	115	142	219	104	97	323	140	52	375	37	31	58	27	631
13	860	109	391	421	33	100	98	205	112	87	406	236	140	405	33	44	69	48	907
14	959	47	205	235	7	38	49	104	32	39	289	199	199	257	27	45	40	42	1024
15	605	1	7	5	1	1	1	1	2	2	5	6	6	2	2	3	4	4	651
16	17	396	1466	1680	99	415	511	814	383	351	1189	648	1444	1011	146	140	251	154	17
17	93.8	10.7	39.6	45.4	2.7	11.2	13.8	22	10.3	9.5	32.1	17.5	39	27.3	3.9	3.8	6.8	4.2	3703
MALE #	4596	609	2089	2263	138	537	743	1130	533	599	1494	790	1932	1412	246	187	370	194	4898
MALE %	93.8	12.4	42.7	46.2	2.8	10.9	15.2	23.1	10.9	12.2	30.5	16.1	39.4	28.8	5	3.8	7.6	3.9	3.9

TABLE 3 (CONTINUED)

I.C. = Individual Counseling
 G.C. = Group Counseling
 F.C. = Family Counseling
 P.C. = Parental Counseling
 P.G.C. = Parental Group Counseling
 T. = Tutoring
 V.S. = Volunteer Services
 P.P.C. = Psychological or Psychiatric Counseling
 G.H. = Group Home Placement
 F.H.P. = Foster Home Placement
 E.A. = Employment Assistance
 V.T.C. = Vocational Training or Counseling
 R.E.P. = Revised Education Program
 C.R.A. = Cultural or Recreational Activity
 M.D. = Medical or Dental Aid
 D.R.P. = Drug Rehabilitation Program
 F.A. = Financial Aid
 O. = Other (Specify)

TABLE 4
 ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY RACE, SEX, AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

RACE & SEX	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		TOTAL			
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
WHITE MALE	372	89.9	623	87.1	924	87.0	1331	88.0	3250	87.8		
NEGRO MALE	20	4.8	41	5.7	77	7.3	112	7.4	250	6.8		
INDIAN MALE	11	2.7	22	3.1	34	3.2	26	1.7	93	2.5		
OTHER MALE	11	2.7	29	4.1	27	2.5	43	2.8	110	2.9		
TOTAL MALE	414	79.3	715	73.8	1062	73.6	1512	77.0	3703	75.6		
WHITE FEMALE	91	84.3	213	83.9	342	89.8	395	87.4	1041	87.1		
NEGRO FEMALE	7	6.5	26	10.2	20	5.2	38	8.4	91	7.6		
INDIAN FEMALE	3	2.8	10	3.9	10	2.6	9	1.9	32	2.7		
OTHER FEMALE	7	6.5	5	1.9	9	2.4	10	2.2	31	2.6		
TOTAL FEMALE	108	20.7	254	26.2	381	26.4	452	23.0	1195	24.4		
WHITE	463	88.7	836	86.3	1266	87.7	1726	87.9	4291	87.6		
NEGRO	27	5.2	67	6.9	97	6.7	150	7.6	341	6.9		
INDIAN	14	2.7	32	3.3	44	3.0	35	1.8	125	2.6		
OTHER	18	3.4	34	3.5	36	2.5	53	2.7	141	2.9		
TOTAL	522		969		1443		1964		4898			

NOTE: Percentages in total male and total female rows reflect the percentage of males and females to total.

TABLE 5 (CONTINUED)

COUNTY OF ASSIGNMENT	TYPE OF SERVICE NEEDS													TOTAL #	TOTAL %					
	I.C.	G.C.	F.C.	P.C.	P.G.C.	T.	V.S.	P.P.C.	G.H.P.	F.H.P.	E.A.	V.T.C.	R.E.P.			C.R.A.	M.D.	D.R.P.	F.A.	O.
THURSTON	218	32	134	135	5	27	150	87	26	28	47	23	114	102	4	17	1	2	228	4.7
WAHIAKUM	18	1	16	14			1	2			1	3	2	1					18	.4
WALLA WALLA	133	24	39	68	3	13	28	19	3	16	41	16	39	20	6	18	2	9	134	2.7
WHATCOM	71	15	29	9			14	13	1	8	21	18	18	31	1	3	1	2	73	1.5
WHITMAN	67	2	21	26	3	2	26	9	8	15	9	6	8	5	1	1	17	62	107	2.2
UNKNOWN	1										1	1							1	.0
TOTAL	4596	609	2089	2263	138	537	743	1130	533	599	1494	790	1932	1412	246	187	370	194	4898	
PERCENT	93.8	12.4	42.7	46.2	2.8	10.9	15.2	23.1	10.9	12.2	30.5	16.1	39.4	28.8	5.0	3.8	7.6	3.9		

- I.C. = Individual Counseling
- G.C. = Group Counseling
- F.C. = Family Counseling
- P.C. = Parental Counseling
- P.G.C. = Parental Group Counseling
- T. = Tutoring
- V.S. = Volunteer Services
- P.P.C. = Psychological or Psychiatric Counseling
- G.H.P. = Group Home Placement
- F.H.P. = Foster Home Placement
- E.A. = Employment Assistance
- V.T.C. = Vocational Training or Counseling
- R.E.P. = Revised Education Program
- C.R.A. = Cultural or Recreational Activity
- M.D. = Medical or Dental Aid
- D.R.P. = Drug Rehabilitation Program
- F.A. = Financial Aid
- O. = Other (Specify)

TABLE 6
 ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY SERVICE NEEDS AT ASSIGNMENT AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT									
	1971		1972		1973		1974		TOTAL	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	508	97.3	931	96.4	1315	91.1	1842	93.8	4596	93.8
GROUP COUNSELING	58	11.1	140	14.4	187	12.9	224	11.4	609	12.4
FAMILY COUNSELING	237	45.4	403	41.6	619	42.9	830	42.2	2089	42.7
PARENTAL COUNSELING	243	46.5	476	49.1	653	45.2	891	43.4	2263	46.2
PARENTAL GROUP COUNSELING	14	2.0	21	2.2	44	3.0	59	3.0	138	2.8
TUTORING	46	6.0	105	10.8	180	12.5	206	10.5	537	10.9
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	59	11.3	134	13.8	250	17.3	300	15.3	743	15.2
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	94	18.0	201	20.7	365	25.3	470	23.9	1130	23.1
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	62	11.8	123	12.7	157	10.9	191	9.7	533	10.9
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	79	15.0	131	13.5	161	11.2	228	11.6	599	12.2
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	166	31.8	266	27.5	399	27.7	663	33.8	1494	30.5
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	79	15.0	155	15.9	205	14.2	351	17.9	790	16.1
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	229	43.8	359	37.0	511	35.4	833	42.4	1932	39.4
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	102	19.5	290	29.9	435	30.1	585	43.7	1412	28.8
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	22	4.2	53	5.5	71	4.9	100	5.1	246	5.0
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	28	5.3	36	3.7	51	3.5	72	3.7	187	3.8
FINANCIAL AID	34	6.5	76	7.8	99	6.9	161	8.2	370	7.6
OTHER	9	1.7	35	3.6	72	4.9	78	3.9	194	3.9
TOTAL	522		969		1443		1964		4898	

NOTE: Percentage of service needs are not additive to 100%: A juvenile may have multiple service needs.

APPENDIX D1
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF FEMALES ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT															
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	106	98.1	245	96.5	346	90.8	426	94.2	106	98.1	245	96.5	346	90.8	426	94.2
GROUP COUNSELING	15	13.9	41	16.1	77	20.2	80	17.7	15	13.9	41	16.1	77	20.2	80	17.7
FAMILY COUNSELING	53	49.1	122	48.0	222	58.3	226	50.0	53	49.1	122	48.0	222	58.3	226	50.0
PARENTAL COUNSELING	51	47.2	136	53.5	180	47.2	216	47.8	51	47.2	136	53.5	180	47.2	216	47.8
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	4	3.7	12	4.7	12	3.1	11	2.4	4	3.7	12	4.7	12	3.1	11	2.4
TUTORING	4	3.7	21	8.3	53	13.9	44	9.7	4	3.7	21	8.3	53	13.9	44	9.7
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	15	13.9	41	16.1	93	24.4	83	18.4	15	13.9	41	16.1	93	24.4	83	18.4
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	28	25.9	69	27.2	103	27.0	116	25.7	28	25.9	69	27.2	103	27.0	116	25.7
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	12	11.1	33	13.0	49	12.9	56	12.4	12	11.1	33	13.0	49	12.9	56	12.4
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	31	28.7	60	23.6	62	16.3	95	21.0	31	28.7	60	23.6	62	16.3	95	21.0
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	25	23.1	59	23.2	85	22.3	136	30.1	25	23.1	59	23.2	85	22.3	136	30.1
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	11	10.2	31	12.2	41	10.8	59	13.1	11	10.2	31	12.2	41	10.8	59	13.1
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	39	36.1	109	42.9	138	36.2	202	44.7	39	36.1	109	42.9	138	36.2	202	44.7
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	19	17.6	74	29.1	143	37.5	165	36.5	19	17.6	74	29.1	143	37.5	165	36.5
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	7	6.5	30	11.8	29	7.6	34	7.5	7	6.5	30	11.8	29	7.6	34	7.5
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	7	6.5	9	3.5	18	4.7	13	2.9	7	6.5	9	3.5	18	4.7	13	2.9
FINANCIAL AID	14	13.0	33	13.0	24	6.3	48	10.6	14	13.0	33	13.0	24	6.3	48	10.6
OTHER	2	1.9	14	5.5	17	4.5	7	1.5	2	1.9	14	5.5	17	4.5	7	1.5
TOTAL	108		254		381		452		108		254		381		452	

APPENDIX D2

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF MALES ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEED AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1974		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	402	97.1	686	95.9	969	91.2	1416	93.7	1416	93.7	1416	93.7
GROUP COUNSELING	43	10.4	99	13.8	110	10.4	144	9.5	144	9.5	144	9.5
FAMILY COUNSELING	184	44.4	281	39.3	397	37.4	604	39.9	604	39.9	604	39.9
PARENTAL COUNSELING	192	46.4	340	47.6	473	44.5	675	44.6	675	44.6	675	44.6
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	10	2.4	9	1.3	32	3.0	48	3.2	48	3.2	48	3.2
TUTORING	42	10.1	84	11.7	127	12.0	162	10.7	162	10.7	162	10.7
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	44	10.6	93	13.0	157	14.8	217	14.4	217	14.4	217	14.4
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	66	15.9	132	18.5	262	24.7	354	23.4	354	23.4	354	23.4
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	50	12.1	90	12.6	108	10.2	135	8.9	135	8.9	135	8.9
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	48	11.6	71	9.9	99	9.3	133	8.8	133	8.8	133	8.8
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	141	34.1	207	29.0	314	29.6	527	34.9	527	34.9	527	34.9
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	68	16.4	124	17.3	164	15.4	292	19.3	292	19.3	292	19.3
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	190	45.9	250	35.0	373	35.1	631	41.7	631	41.7	631	41.7
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	83	20.0	216	30.2	292	27.5	420	27.8	420	27.8	420	27.8
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	15	3.6	23	3.2	42	4.0	66	4.4	66	4.4	66	4.4
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	21	5.1	27	3.8	33	3.1	59	3.9	59	3.9	59	3.9
FINANCIAL AID	20	4.8	43	6.0	75	7.1	113	7.5	113	7.5	113	7.5
OTHER	7	1.7	21	2.9	55	5.2	71	4.7	71	4.7	71	4.7
TOTAL	414		715		1062		1512		1512		1512	

APPENDIX D3

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF WHITES ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT							
	1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	450	97.2	809	96.8	1154	91.2	1614	93.5
GROUP COUNSELING	52	11.2	119	14.2	160	12.6	194	11.2
FAMILY COUNSELING	211	45.6	355	42.5	564	44.5	757	43.9
PARENTAL COUNSELING	207	44.7	418	50.0	584	46.1	809	46.9
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	12	2.6	18	2.2	39	3.1	56	3.2
TUTORING	37	8.0	74	8.9	148	11.7	175	10.1
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	45	9.7	105	12.6	225	17.8	270	15.6
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	82	17.7	179	21.4	337	26.6	430	24.9
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	53	11.4	104	12.4	134	10.6	165	9.6
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	67	14.5	103	12.3	144	11.4	199	11.5
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	140	30.2	216	25.8	337	26.6	572	33.1
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	64	13.8	131	15.7	160	12.6	292	16.9
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	193	41.7	298	35.6	431	34.0	726	42.1
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	82	17.7	237	28.3	384	30.3	506	29.3
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	15	3.2	40	4.8	55	4.3	67	3.9
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	26	5.6	30	3.6	45	3.6	69	4.0
FINANCIAL AID	24	5.2	47	5.6	63	5.0	106	6.1
OTHER	8	1.7	31	3.7	66	5.2	71	4.1
TOTAL	463		836		1266		1726	

APPENDIX D4

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NEGROS ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1974		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	27	100.0	61	91.0	88	90.7	142	94.7				
GROUP COUNSELING	5	18.5	16	23.9	18	18.6	19	12.7				
FAMILY COUNSELING	7	25.9	24	35.8	30	30.9	45	30.0				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	19	70.4	28	41.8	37	38.1	48	32.0				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	2	7.4	3	4.5	2	2.1	3	2.0				
TUTORING	8	29.6	21	31.3	14	14.4	23	15.3				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	7	25.9	20	29.9	17	17.5	21	14.0				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	7	25.9	14	20.9	16	16.5	27	18.0				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	4	14.8	11	16.4	7	7.2	18	12.0				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	4	14.8	13	19.4	9	9.3	15	10.0				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	12	44.4	29	43.3	42	43.3	59	39.3				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	8	29.6	14	20.9	29	29.9	37	24.7				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	18	66.7	39	58.2	50	51.5	75	50.0				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	5	18.5	27	40.3	28	28.9	47	31.3				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	4	14.8	10	14.9	11	11.3	22	14.7				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	1	3.7	3	4.5	3	3.1	1	0.7				
FINANCIAL AID	3	11.1	19	28.4	24	24.7	41	27.3				
OTHER	--	--	--	--	1	1.0	5	3.3				
TOTAL	27		67		97		150					

APPENDIX D5

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INDIANS ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971			1972			1973			1974		
	NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT	
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	14	100.0		29	90.6		39	88.6		35	100.0	
GROUP COUNSELING	--	--		2	6.3		4	9.1		5	14.3	
FAMILY COUNSELING	7	50.0		14	43.8		17	38.6		12	34.3	
PARENTAL COUNSELING	5	35.7		13	40.6		18	40.9		14	40.0	
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	--	--		--	--		1	2.3		--	--	
TUTORING	--	--		1	3.1		9	20.5		1	2.9	
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	4	28.6		3	9.4		5	11.4		3	8.6	
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	1	7.1		2	6.3		7	15.9		3	8.6	
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	2	14.3		6	18.8		11	25.0		4	11.4	
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	4	28.6		9	28.1		5	11.4		6	17.1	
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	7	50.0		13	40.6		13	29.5		16	45.7	
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	3	21.4		5	15.6		10	22.7		14	40.0	
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	9	64.3		11	34.4		18	40.9		13	37.1	
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	8	57.1		17	53.1		13	29.5		15	42.9	
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	--	--		2	6.3		1	2.3		5	14.3	
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	--	--		--	--		2	4.5		2	5.7	
FINANCIAL AID	1	7.1		7	21.9		5	11.4		6	17.1	
OTHER	--	--		3	9.4		1	2.3		2	5.7	
TOTAL	14			32			44			35		

APPENDIX D6

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "OTHERS" ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971			1972			1973			1974		
	NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT	
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	17	94.4		32	94.1		34	94.4		51	96.2	
GROUP COUNSELING	1	5.6		3	8.8		5	13.9		6	11.3	
FAMILY COUNSELING	12	66.7		10	29.4		8	22.2		16	30.2	
PARENTAL COUNSELING	12	66.7		17	50.0		14	38.9		20	37.7	
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	--	--		--	--		2	5.6		--	--	
TUTORING	1	5.6		9	26.5		9	25.0		7	13.2	
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	3	16.7		6	17.6		3	8.3		6	11.3	
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	4	22.2		6	17.6		5	13.9		4	7.5	
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	3	16.7		2	5.9		5	13.9		4	7.5	
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	4	22.2		6	17.6		3	8.3		8	15.1	
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	7	38.9		8	23.5		7	19.4		16	30.2	
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	4	22.2		5	14.7		6	16.7		8	15.1	
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	9	50.0		11	32.4		12	33.3		19	35.8	
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	7	38.9		9	26.5		10	27.8		17	32.1	
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	3	16.7		1	2.9		4	11.1		6	11.3	
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	1	5.6		3	8.8		1	2.8		--	--	
FINANCIAL AID	6	33.3		3	8.8		7	19.4		8	15.1	
OTHER	1	5.6		1	2.9		4	11.1		--	--	
TOTAL	18			34			36			53		

APPENDIX D7

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "PERSON" OFFENDERS* ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	28	100.0	63	95.5	89	88.1	129	96.3				
GROUP COUNSELING	2	7.1	11	16.7	17	16.8	12	9.0				
FAMILY COUNSELING	11	39.3	23	34.8	40	39.6	47	35.1				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	13	46.4	36	54.5	40	39.6	48	35.8				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	--	--	1	1.5	3	3.0	5	3.7				
TUTORING	3	10.7	7	10.6	12	11.9	17	12.7				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	6	21.4	9	13.6	15	14.9	13	9.7				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	4	14.3	15	22.7	28	27.7	35	26.1				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	1	3.6	6	9.1	8	7.9	12	9.0				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	4	14.3	8	12.1	11	10.9	9	6.7				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	11	39.3	21	31.8	35	34.7	53	39.6				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	2	7.1	12	18.2	19	18.8	40	29.9				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	16	57.1	30	45.5	43	42.6	62	23.9				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	5	17.9	20	30.3	33	32.7	32	23.9				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	--	--	5	7.6	7	6.9	10	7.5				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	--	--	2	3.0	2	2.0	2	1.5				
FINANCIAL AID	3	10.7	7	10.6	15	14.9	17	12.7				
OTHER	--	--	--	--	3	3.0	3	2.2				
TOTAL	28		66		101		134					

*Includes Murder and Non-Negligent Manslaughter
 Manslaughter by Negligence
 Robbery: purse snatching by force
 Robbery: all except purse snatching
 Assault: aggravated
 Assault: all except aggravated

Forcible Rape
 Sex offenses (except forcible rape)

APPENDIX D8

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "PROPERTY" OFFENDERS* ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1971		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	205	97.6	382	95.0	592	92.4	894	94.9				
GROUP COUNSELING	20	9.5	57	14.2	76	11.9	96	10.2				
FAMILY COUNSELING	93	44.3	151	37.6	269	42.0	390	41.4				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	112	53.3	192	47.8	304	47.4	463	49.2				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	8	3.8	7	1.7	17	2.7	27	2.9				
TUTORING	23	11.0	50	12.4	80	12.5	113	12.0				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	19	9.0	54	13.4	107	16.7	152	16.1				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	31	14.8	65	16.2	153	23.9	211	22.4				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	18	8.6	39	9.7	58	9.0	79	8.4				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	22	10.5	48	11.9	63	9.8	95	10.1				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	70	33.3	110	27.4	202	31.5	350	37.2				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	34	16.2	76	18.9	109	17.0	171	18.2				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	88	41.9	135	33.6	227	35.4	408	43.3				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	45	21.4	125	31.1	197	30.7	291	30.9				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	10	4.8	13	3.2	31	4.8	44	4.7				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	8	3.8	12	3.0	12	1.9	20	2.1				
FINANCIAL AID	11	5.2	29	7.2	50	7.8	88	9.3				
OTHER	4	1.9	15	3.7	28	4.4	36	3.8				
TOTAL	210		402		641		942					

*Includes Burglary - breaking or entering
 Larceny: shoplifting
 Larceny: all except shoplifting
 Auto theft

APPENDIX D9

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "DRUG" OFFENDERS* ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	49	98.0	77	96.3	109	93.2	135	91.8				
GROUP COUNSELING	7	14.0	11	13.8	12	10.3	24	16.3				
FAMILY COUNSELING	21	42.0	25	31.3	45	38.5	60	40.8				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	21	42.0	38	47.5	59	50.4	50	34.0				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	--	--	2	2.5	5	4.3	5	3.4				
TUTORING	1	2.0	5	6.3	8	6.8	11	7.5				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	5	10.0	10	12.5	24	20.5	21	14.3				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	6	12.0	13	16.3	25	21.4	25	17.0				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	2	4.0	3	3.8	8	6.8	13	8.8				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	4	8.0	3	3.8	3	2.6	7	4.8				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	20	40.0	26	32.5	22	18.8	44	29.9				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	11	22.0	14	17.5	8	6.8	21	14.3				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	18	36.0	21	26.3	42	35.9	54	36.7				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	11	22.0	18	22.5	44	37.6	32	21.8				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	1	2.0	1	1.3	2	1.7	5	3.4				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	7	14.0	9	11.3	17	14.5	26	17.7				
FINANCIAL AID	1	2.0	1	1.3	2	1.7	8	5.4				
OTHER	2	4.0	5	6.3	7	6.0	10	6.8				
TOTAL	50		80		117		147					

*Includes - Possession and/or use of drugs: narcotic
 Possession and/or use of drugs: all except narcotic
 Selling drugs: narcotic
 Selling drugs: all except narcotic

APPENDIX D10

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "OTHER" OFFENDERS* ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	92	94.8	130	94.9	178	92.2	264	92.3				
GROUP COUNSELING	17	17.5	21	15.3	20	10.4	28	9.8				
FAMILY COUNSELING	38	39.2	52	38.0	72	37.3	104	36.4				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	39	40.2	69	50.4	79	40.9	120	42.0				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	1	1.0	1	0.7	3	1.6	8	2.8				
TUTORING	12	12.4	9	6.6	21	10.9	22	7.7				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	12	12.4	20	14.6	26	13.5	42	14.7				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	16	16.5	30	21.9	39	20.2	76	26.6				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	14	14.4	22	16.1	12	6.2	18	6.3				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	17	17.5	17	12.4	26	13.5	27	9.4				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	23	23.7	32	23.4	46	23.8	91	31.8				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	11	11.3	15	10.9	22	11.4	38	13.3				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	43	44.3	45	32.8	69	35.8	105	36.7				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	16	16.5	36	26.3	43	22.3	62	21.7				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	4	4.1	9	6.6	4	2.1	14	4.9				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	4	4.1	5	3.6	6	3.1	15	5.2				
FINANCIAL AID	5	5.2	10	7.3	8	4.1	20	7.0				
OTHER	1	1.0	6	4.4	16	8.3	20	7.0				
TOTAL	97		137		193		286					

*Includes - Possessing or drinking of liquor
 Violation of curfew
 Disorderly conduct
 Vandalism
 Other

APPENDIX D11

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "VEHICLE" OFFENDERS* ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	6	100.0	15	100.0	9	81.8	19	90.5	9	81.8	19	90.5
GROUP COUNSELING	--	--	--	--	2	18.2	1	4.8	2	18.2	1	4.8
FAMILY COUNSELING	4	66.7	7	46.7	4	36.4	7	33.3	4	36.4	7	33.3
PARENTAL COUNSELING	3	50.0	5	33.3	3	27.3	6	28.6	3	27.3	6	28.6
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
TUTORING	2	33.3	1	6.7	3	27.3	--	--	3	27.3	--	--
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	--	--	--	--	3	27.3	2	9.5	3	27.3	2	9.5
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	1	16.7	5	33.3	3	27.3	1	4.8	3	27.3	1	4.8
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	--	--	--	--	2	18.2	--	--	2	18.2	--	--
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	1	16.7	3	20.0	2	18.2	--	--	2	18.2	--	--
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	--	--	4	26.7	3	27.3	4	19.0	3	27.3	4	19.0
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	--	--	3	20.0	1	9.1	5	23.8	1	9.1	5	23.8
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	3	50.0	5	33.3	--	--	4	19.0	--	--	4	19.0
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	1	16.7	2	13.3	6	54.5	5	23.8	6	54.5	5	23.8
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	--	--	--	--	1	9.1	1	4.8	1	9.1	1	4.8
FINANCIAL AID	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
OTHER	--	--	--	--	1	9.1	--	--	1	9.1	--	--
TOTAL	6		15		11		21		11		21	

*Includes - Driving while intoxicated
 Hit and run
 Reckless driving
 Driving without a license

APPENDIX D12
 NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "STATUS" OFFENDERS* ASSIGNED TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
 DURING 1971-1974 BY INDICATED SERVICE NEEDS AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT															
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	128	97.7	264	98.1	338	88.9	401	92.4								
GROUP COUNSELING	12	9.2	40	14.9	60	15.8	63	14.5								
FAMILY COUNSELING	70	53.4	145	53.9	189	49.7	222	51.2								
PARENTAL COUNSELING	55	42.0	136	50.6	168	44.2	204	47.0								
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING	5	3.8	10	3.7	16	4.2	14	3.2								
TUTORING	5	3.8	33	12.3	56	14.7	43	9.9								
VOLUNTEER SERVICES	17	13.0	41	15.2	75	19.7	70	16.1								
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING	36	27.5	73	27.1	117	30.8	122	28.1								
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT	27	20.6	53	19.7	69	18.2	69	15.9								
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT	31	23.7	52	19.3	56	14.7	90	20.7								
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	42	32.1	73	27.1	91	23.9	121	27.9								
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING	21	16.0	35	13.0	46	12.1	76	17.5								
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM	61	46.6	123	45.7	130	34.2	200	46.1								
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	24	18.3	89	33.1	112	29.5	163	37.6								
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	7	5.3	25	9.3	27	7.1	27	6.2								
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM	9	6.9	8	3.0	13	3.4	8	1.8								
FINANCIAL AID	14	10.7	29	10.8	24	6.3	28	6.5								
OTHER	2	1.5	9	3.3	17	4.5	9	2.1								
TOTAL	131		269		380		434									

*Includes - Ungovernable behavior
 Running away
 Truancy

TABLE 7
 ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY FREQUENCY OF OFFENSE OF ASSIGNMENT AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

FREQUENCY OF OFFENSE	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT												TOTAL ASSIGNMENTS	
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1973		1974		NUMBER	PERCENT
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
UNKNOWN	45	8.6	40	4.1	28	1.9	13	0.7	28	1.9	13	0.7	126	2.6
1	317	60.7	606	62.5	871	60.4	1289	65.6	871	60.4	1289	65.6	3083	62.9
2	96	18.4	179	18.5	287	19.9	363	18.5	287	19.9	363	18.5	925	18.9
3	44	8.4	76	7.8	134	9.3	146	7.4	134	9.3	146	7.4	400	8.2
4	10	1.9	34	3.5	53	3.7	67	3.4	53	3.7	67	3.4	164	3.3
5	1	0.2	9	0.9	24	1.7	25	1.3	24	1.7	25	1.3	59	1.2
6	2	0.4	13	1.3	11	0.8	25	1.3	11	0.8	25	1.3	51	1.0
7	2	0.4	1	0.1	2	0.1	6	0.3	2	0.1	6	0.3	11	0.2
8	1	0.2	1	0.1	7	0.5	7	0.4	7	0.5	7	0.4	16	0.3
9 OR MORE	4	0.8	10	1.0	26	1.8	23	1.2	26	1.8	23	1.2	63	1.3
TOTAL	522		969		1443		1964		1443		1964		4898	

TABLE 8
 ASSIGNMENTS TO JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY TOTAL REPORTED COURT KNOWN REFERRALS PRIOR TO ASSIGNMENT AND YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT

TOTAL REFERRAL HISTORY	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT												TOTAL ASSIGNMENTS	
	1971			1972			1973			1974			NUMBER	PERCENT
	NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT		NUMBER	PERCENT			
NONE	7	1.3		25	2.6		61	4.2		48	2.4		141	2.9
1	109	20.9		257	26.5		346	24.0		510	26.0		1222	24.9
2	110	21.1		193	19.9		287	19.8		432	29.9		1022	20.9
3	117	22.4		183	18.9		260	18.0		336	17.1		896	18.3
4	70	13.4		123	12.7		180	12.5		247	12.6		620	12.7
5	45	8.6		70	7.2		124	8.6		147	7.5		386	7.9
6	32	6.1		61	6.3		70	4.9		111	5.7		274	5.6
7	16	3.1		21	2.2		37	2.6		64	3.3		138	2.8
8	6	1.1		17	1.8		27	1.9		30	1.5		80	1.6
9	10	1.9		19	2.0		51	3.5		39	2.0		119	2.4
TOTAL	522			969			1443			1964			4898	

TABLE 9
 RELEASES FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY COUNTY OF RELEASE AND REASON FOR RELEASE

COUNTY OF ASSIGNMENT	REASON FOR RELEASE													TOTAL		
	01	02	03	04	05	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	#	%		
DAMS	14	1.0											1	0.4	16	0.5
ENTON	39	2.7											32	11.9	100	3.4
HELAN	14	1.0											3	1.1	69	2.3
LALLAM	38	2.6											6	2.2	221	7.4
LARK	94	6.4											1	0.4	1	0.0
COLUMBIA	27	1.9											6	2.2	78	2.6
HOWLITZ	13	0.9											5	1.9	32	1.1
FRANKLIN	10	0.7											4	1.5	46	1.5
FRANT	28	1.9											8	3.0	65	2.2
FRAYS HARBOR	26	1.8											5	1.9	51	1.7
ISLAND	9	0.6											1	0.7	21	0.7
JEFFERSON	192	13.2											31	11.5	395	13.3
JING	14	1.0											2	0.7	46	1.5
JITSAP	29	2.0											3	1.1	115	3.9
KLICKITAT	86	5.9											2	0.7	32	1.2
JEWLS	25	1.7											8	3.0	90	3.0
JINGOLN	59	4.0											7	2.6	14	0.5
MASON	5	0.3											3	1.1	65	2.2
OKANOGAN	37	2.5											56	20.8	468	15.7
PACIFIC	10	0.7											3	1.1	19	0.6
PEND OREILLE	251	17.2											13	4.8	120	4.0
PIERCE	13	0.9											9	3.3	134	4.5
SAN JUAN	48	3.3											11	4.1	278	9.3
SKAGIT	66	4.5											13	4.8	134	4.5
SNOWHOMISH	113	7.7											11	4.1	278	9.3
SPOKANE	3	0.2											7	0.2	7	0.2

TABLE 9 (CONTINUED)

COUNTY OF ASSIGNMENT	REASON FOR RELEASE													TOTAL	
	01	02	03	04	05	07	08	09	10	11	12	13			
	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	#	
THURSTON	95	5	12	7	5	3	8	3	3		1	32	174	5.8	
WAHKIAKUM	10	2	2				2					1	17	0.6	
WALLA WALLA	41	4	5	8			11	3		6		9	87	2.9	
WHATCOM	18	9	6	8	3		6	1		1		2	54	1.8	
WHITMAN	32	1	14	3	5		6	1		2		6	70	2.4	
TOTAL	1459	314	220	337	67	6	140	59	33	65	7	269	2976		

01 = Adjustment Adequate to Warrant Discharge
 02 = Maximum Age
 03 = To Other Probation Caseload
 04 = Committed to Juvenile Rehabilitation Institution
 05 = To Armed Services
 06 = To Court For Commitment To Facility For Mentally Retarded - NOTE - There were no commitments to facility for mentally retarded
 07 = To Court For Commitment to Mental Hospital
 08 = To Other State
 09 = To Other Institution
 10 = To Court as an Adult
 11 = Whereabouts Unknown
 12 = Death of juvenile
 13 = Other

TABLE 10
 RELEASES FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT									
	1971		1972		1973		1974		TOTAL	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	4	50.0	391	58.3	558	58.6	711	52.9	1664	55.9
GROUP COUNSELING			17	8.5	22	2.3	47	3.5	86	29.9
FAMILY COUNSELING	1	12.5	77	11.5	99	10.4	122	9.1	299	10.0
PARENTAL COUNSELING	1	12.5	68	10.1	119	12.5	156	11.6	344	11.6
PARENTAL GROUP COUNSELING			7	1.0	4	0.4	6	0.4	17	0.6
TUTORING			9	1.3	29	3.0	25	1.9	63	2.1
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			16	2.4	28	2.9	46	3.4	90	3.0
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			8	1.2	21	2.2	43	3.2	72	2.4
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			24	3.6	34	3.6	39	2.9	97	3.3
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			19	2.8	34	3.6	53	3.9	106	3.6
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	1	12.5	47	7.0	77	8.1	110	8.2	235	7.9
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING			8	1.2	19	2.0	26	1.9	53	1.8
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			51	7.6	68	7.1	120	8.9	239	8.0
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			32	4.8	85	8.9	86	6.4	204	6.9
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	1	12.5	4	0.6	15	1.6	20	1.5	39	1.3
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM			3	0.4	5	0.5	3	0.2	11	0.4
FINANCIAL AID			10	1.5	27	2.8	35	2.6	72	2.4
OTHER	1	12.5	10	1.5	18	1.9	36	2.7	65	2.2
TOTAL	8		671		952		1345		2976	

APPENDIX E1

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF FEMALES RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1971		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	1	33.3	80	61.1	161	59.0	212	56.8				
GROUP COUNSELING			4	3.1	20	7.3	25	6.7				
FAMILY COUNSELING			16	12.2	41	15.0	50	13.4				
PARENTAL COUNSELING			10	7.6	35	12.8	49	13.1				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING					2	0.7	2	0.5				
TUTORING			1	0.8	2	0.7	10	2.7				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			4	3.1	10	3.7	15	4.0				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			1	0.8	4	1.5	13	3.5				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			5	3.8	11	4.0	14	3.8				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			5	3.8	15	5.5	16	4.3				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			6	4.6	22	8.1	38	10.2				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING					3	1.1	8	2.1				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			7	5.3	22	8.1	47	12.6				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			4	3.1	28	10.3	39	10.5				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID			1	0.8	9	3.3	7	1.9				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM					2	0.7	1	0.3				
FINANCIAL AID	1	33.3	1	0.8	14	5.1	12	3.2				
OTHER			7	5.3	4	1.5	6	1.6				
TOTAL	3		131		273		373					

APPENDIX E2

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF MALES RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1974		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	3	60.0	311	57.6	397	58.5	499	51.3				
GROUP COUNSELING			13	2.4	2	0.3	22	2.3				
FAMILY COUNSELING	1	20.0	61	11.3	58	8.5	72	7.4				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	1	20.0	58	10.7	84	12.4	107	11.0				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING			7	1.3	2	0.3	4	0.4				
TUTORING			8	1.5	27	4.0	15	1.5				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			12	2.2	18	2.7	31	3.2				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			7	1.3	17	2.5	30	3.1				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			19	3.5	23	3.4	25	2.6				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			14	2.6	19	2.8	37	3.8				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	1	20.0	41	7.6	55	8.1	72	7.4				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING			8	1.5	15	2.4	18	1.9				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			44	8.1	46	6.8	73	7.5				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	1	20.0	28	5.2	57	8.4	47	4.8				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID			3	0.6	6	0.9	13	1.3				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM			3	0.6	3	0.4	2	0.2				
FINANCIAL AID			9	1.7	13	1.9	23	2.4				
OTHER			3	0.6	14	2.1	30	3.1				
TOTAL	5		540		679		972					

APPENDIX E3

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF WHITES RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	4	57.1	361	60.2	515	60.4	637	54.1				
GROUP COUNSELING			17	2.8	19	2.2	40	3.4				
FAMILY COUNSELING	1	14.3	71	11.8	89	10.4	111	9.4				
PARENTAL COUNSELING	1	14.3	62	10.3	109	12.8	143	12.1				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING			7	1.2	4	0.5	6	0.5				
TUTORING			8	1.3	28	3.3	22	1.9				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			15	2.5	27	3.2	41	3.5				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			6	1.0	18	2.1	38	3.2				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			19	3.2	30	3.5	34	2.9				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			15	2.5	31	3.6	44	3.7				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	1	14.3	43	7.2	68	8.0	100	8.5				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING			8	1.3	18	2.1	23	2.0				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			48	8.0	62	7.3	104	8.8				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY	1	14.3	31	5.2	76	8.9	76	6.5				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID			3	0.5	12	1.4	19	1.6				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM			3	0.5	4	0.5	3	0.3				
FINANCIAL AID			8	1.3	20	2.3	23	2.0				
OTHER	1	14.3	8	1.3	17	2.0	34	2.9				
TOTAL	7		600		852		1178					

APPENDIX E4
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF NEGROS RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING			11	44.0	22	39.3	42	42.4				
GROUP COUNSELING					3	5.4	5	5.1				
FAMILY COUNSELING					5	8.9	8	8.1				
PARENTAL COUNSELING			3	12.0	4	7.1	6	6.1				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING												
TUTORING					1	1.8	2	2.0				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES					1	1.8	4	4.0				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			2	8.0	1	1.8	3	3.0				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			3	12.0	1	1.8	4	4.0				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT					1	1.8	3	3.0				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			3	12.0	1	1.8	6	6.1				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING												
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			1	4.0	4	7.1	3	3.0				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY							11	11.1				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID					5	8.9	4	4.0				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM					1	1.8	1	1.0				
FINANCIAL AID			2	8.0	6	10.7	8	8.1				
OTHER					1	1.8	1	1.0				
TOTAL	1		25		56		99					

APPENDIX E5
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF INDIANS RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT							
	1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING			6	27.2	11	47.8	13	37.1
GROUP COUNSELING							2	5.7
FAMILY COUNSELING			1	4.5	2	8.7	1	2.9
PARENTAL COUNSELING					3	13.0	2	5.7
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING								
TUTORING								
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			1	4.5			1	2.9
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING							1	2.9
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			1	4.5	2	8.7		
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			1	4.5			4	11.4
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE					5	21.7	2	5.7
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING								
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM					1	4.3		
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY					1	4.3		
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID					1	4.3		
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM								
FINANCIAL AID					1	4.3		
OTHER								
TOTAL			22		23		35	

APPENDIX E6
 NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "OTHERS" RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
 DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974		1974		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING			13	54.2	10	47.6	19	57.6				
GROUP COUNSELING												
FAMILY COUNSELING			5	20.8	3	14.3	2	6.1				
PARENTAL COUNSELING			3	12.5	3	14.3	5	15.2				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING												
TUTORING			1	4.2			1	3.0				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES												
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING												
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			1	4.2	1	4.8	1	3.0				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			3	12.5	2	9.5	2	6.1				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			1	4.2	3	14.3	2	6.1				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING												
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			2	8.3	1	4.8	3	9.1				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			1	4.2	3	14.3	2	6.1				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID			1	4.2	1	4.8						
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM												
FINANCIAL AID			2	8.3			2	6.1				
OTHER							1	3.0				
TOTAL			24		21		33					

APPENDIX E7

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "PERSON" OFFENDERS* RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT							
	1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	1	100.0	20	64.5	28	48.3	47	47.5
GROUP COUNSELING			2	6.5	2	3.4	5	5.1
FAMILY COUNSELING			2	6.5	4	6.9	12	12.1
PARENTAL COUNSELING			5	16.1	9	15.5	7	7.1
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING							1	1.0
TUTORING							1	1.0
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			1	3.2			3	3.0
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			1	3.2			5	5.1
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT					2	3.4		
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT					1	1.7		
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			4	12.9	4	6.9	5	5.1
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING					3	5.2	10	10.1
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			1	3.2	2	3.4	3	3.0
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			2	6.5	2	3.4	10	10.1
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID					3	5.2	1	1.0
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM							2	2.0
FINANCIAL AID			2	6.5			6	6.1
OTHER					1	1.7	2	2.0
TOTAL	1		31		58		99	

*Includes - Murder and non-negligent manslaughter
 Manslaughter by negligence
 Robbery: purse snatching by force
 Robbery: all except purse snatching

Assault: aggravated
 Assault: all except aggravated
 Forcible rape
 Sex offenses (except forcible rape)

APPENDIX E8

NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "PROPERTY" OFFENDERS* RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT							
	1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	2	100.0	164	60.1	251	61.7	317	54.8
GROUP COUNSELING			3	1.1	6	1.5	18	3.1
FAMILY COUNSELING	1	50.0	35	12.8	44	10.8	46	8.0
PARENTAL COUNSELING			30	11.0	55	13.5	73	12.6
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING			5	1.8	2	0.5	1	0.2
TUTORING			3	1.1	21	5.2	13	2.2
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			3	1.1	12	2.9	19	3.3
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			3	1.1	13	3.2	21	3.6
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			5	1.8	9	2.2	16	2.8
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			7	2.6	13	3.2	19	3.3
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE	1	50.0	17	6.2	38	9.3	45	7.8
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING			3	1.1	12	2.9	11	1.9
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			21	7.7	35	8.6	41	7.1
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			18	6.6	46	11.3	34	5.9
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID	1	50.0	1	0.4	5	1.2	5	0.9
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM			5	1.8	14	3.4	1	0.2
FINANCIAL AID			4	1.5	11	2.7	12	2.1
OTHER							13	2.2
TOTAL	2		273		407		578	

*Includes - Burglary: breaking or entering
 Larceny: shoplifting
 Larceny: all except shoplifting
 Auto theft

APPENDIX E9
NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "DRUG" OFFENDERS RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING	1	50.0	45	62.5	44	57.9	62	56.4				
GROUP COUNSELING			3	4.2			3	2.7				
FAMILY COUNSELING			5	6.9	8	10.5	12	10.9				
PARENTAL COUNSELING			13	18.1	8	10.5	17	15.5				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING							1	0.9				
TUTORING							3	2.7				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			1	1.4	1	1.3	1	0.9				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			1	1.4	1	1.3	3	2.7				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			2	2.8	1	1.3	5	4.5				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT							4	3.6				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			5	6.9	5	6.6	6	5.5				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING							5	4.5				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			3	4.2	4	5.3	11	10.0				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			1	1.4	2	2.6	4	3.6				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID							2	1.8				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM			2	2.8	2	2.6	1	0.9				
FINANCIAL AID							5	4.5				
OTHER							5	4.5				
TOTAL	2		72		76		110					

*Includes - Possession and/or use of drugs: narcotic
Possession and/or use of drugs: all except narcotic
Selling drugs: narcotic
Selling drugs: all except narcotic

APPENDIX E10
 NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "OTHER" OFFENDERS RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
 DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT							
	1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING			62	52.5	83	60.6	99	52.4
GROUP COUNSELING			6	5.1	3	2.2	5	2.6
FAMILY COUNSELING			15	12.7	17	12.4	13	6.9
PARENTAL COUNSELING	1	100.0	6	5.1	13	9.5	22	11.6
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING			1	0.8				
TUTORING			3	2.5	1	0.7	1	0.5
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			4	3.4	5	3.6	5	2.6
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING			3	2.5	4	2.9	6	3.2
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			1	0.8	3	2.2	5	2.6
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			4	3.4	4	2.9	7	3.7
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			8	6.8	10	7.3	19	10.1
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING								
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			7	5.9	2	1.5	6	3.2
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			3	2.5	2	1.5	21	11.1
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID					5	3.6	10	5.3
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM					4	2.9	3	1.6
FINANCIAL AID			2	1.7	1	0.7	2	1.1
OTHER			3	2.5	1	0.7	9	4.8
TOTAL	1		118		137		189	

*Includes - Possessing or drinking of liquor
 Disorderly conduct
 Violation of curfew
 Vandalism
 Other

APPENDIX E11
 NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "VEHICLE" OFFENDERS RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
 DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT							
	1971		1972		1973		1974	
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING			4	57.1	9	75.0	7	46.7
GROUP COUNSELING							1	6.7
FAMILY COUNSELING			1	14.3	2	16.7	1	6.7
PARENTAL COUNSELING			1	14.3	2	16.7	2	13.3
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING								
TUTORING								
VOLUNTEER SERVICES							1	6.7
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING								
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT								
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT					1	8.3		
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE								
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING					1	8.3		
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM								
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY								
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID								
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM								
FINANCIAL AID								
OTHER								
TOTAL			7		12		15	

*Includes - Driving while intoxicated
 Hit and run
 Reckless driving
 Driving without a license

APPENDIX E12
 NUMBER AND PERCENT OF "STATUS" OFFENDERS RELEASED FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY
 DURING 1971-1974 BY SERVICES PROVIDED AND YEAR OF RELEASE

SERVICE NEEDS	YEAR OF ASSIGNMENT											
	1971		1972		1973		1974					
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
INDIVIDUAL COUNSELING			96	56.6	143	54.6	179	50.6				
GROUP COUNSELING			3	1.8	11	4.2	15	4.2				
FAMILY COUNSELING			19	11.2	24	9.2	38	10.7				
PARENTAL COUNSELING			13	7.6	32	12.2	35	9.9				
PARENT GROUP COUNSELING			1	0.6	2	0.8	3	0.8				
TUTORING			3	1.8	7	2.7	7	2.0				
VOLUNTEER SERVICES			7	4.1	10	3.8	17	4.8				
PSYCHOLOGICAL OR PSYCHIATRIC COUNSELING					1	0.4	8	2.3				
GROUP HOME PLACEMENT			16	9.4	20	7.6	13	3.7				
FOSTER HOME PLACEMENT			8	4.7	12	4.6	18	5.1				
EMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE			13	7.6	21	8.0	30	8.5				
VOCATIONAL TRAINING OR COUNSELING			4	2.4	1	0.4	1	0.3				
REVISED EDUCATION PROGRAM			18	10.6	24	9.2	35	9.9				
CULTURAL OR RECREATIONAL ACTIVITY			9	5.3	29	11.1	36	10.2				
MEDICAL OR DENTAL AID			3	1.8	6	2.3	8	2.3				
DRUG REHABILITATION PROGRAM			1	0.6	1	0.4	1	0.3				
FINANCIAL AID			1	0.6	10	3.8	10	2.8				
OTHER	1	50.0	3	1.8	4	1.5	5	1.4				
TOTAL	2		170		262		354					

*Includes - Ungovernable behavior
 Running away
 Truancy

TABLE 11
 RELEASES FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 BY OFFENSE OF COMMITMENT AND LENGTH OF STAY

OFFENSE OF COMMITMENT	LENGTH OF STAY											TOTAL	MEAN					
	0-3		4-6		7-9		10-12		13-15		16-18			19-21		22+		
	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.			MO.	MO.	MO.	MO.	
UNKNOWN	9	30	17	24	13	5	3	1								102	9.0	
MANSLAUGHTER BY NEGLIGENCE		2	1	1												5	11.6	
ROBBERY: PURSE SNATCHING BY FORCE		2	3	3	2			3								13	12.6	
ROBBERY: ALL EXCEPT PURSE SNATCHING	2	13	19	8	8	2		1								53	9.0	
ASSAULT: AGGRAVATED	6	7	8	6	4	1										33	8.2	
ASSAULT: ALL EXCEPT AGGRAVATED	7	9	16	14	7	2	2	6								63	10.3	
BURGLARY - BREAKING OR ENTERING	73	150	138	105	55	25	11	29								581	8.8	
LARCENY: SHOPLIFTING	22	32	18	12	7		3	9								103	8.2	
LARCENY: ALL EXCEPT SHOPLIFTING	35	78	58	37	32	12	12	7								271	8.8	
AUTO THEFT	47	56	71	43	38	21	12	17								305	9.6	
FORCIBLE RAPE	1	1	2	4	3											4	5.8	
SEX OFFENSES (EXCEPT FORCIBLE RAPE)		8	3													18	8.3	
POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS:																		
NARCOTIC	9	15	15	11	3	1	1	1								56	7.8	
POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS:																		
ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC	26	45	35	23	13	11	2	3								158	8.2	
SELLING DRUGS: NARCOTIC	2	2	6	1	4	3	1									19	10.5	
SELLING DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC	5	4	10	5	1	2										27	7.9	
POSSESSING OR DRINKING OF LIQUOR	24	29	20	8	6	4	4	5								100	7.9	
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	5	8	4	1	1	1	1	1								22	7.6	
VIOLATION OF CURFEW	7	3	1	1	1											14	6.1	
VANDALISM	7	13	18	8	1	2	1	5								55	9.0	
DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	4	1	1													6	3.5	
HIT AND RUN				2												2	11.0	
RECKLESS DRIVING	1	5	1	2	2											11	7.7	
DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE	3	3	3	3	3	1		2								15	10.6	
UNGOVERNABLE BEHAVIOR	76	99	81	63	44	25	26	30								444	9.5	
RUNNING AWAY	65	67	51	41	31	15	7	11								288	8.4	
TRUANCY	17	15	11	6	1	4	1	1								56	6.9	
OTHER	30	40	35	19	10	7	3	8								152	8.2	
TOTAL	483	737	643	450	290	145	91	137								2976	8.8	

TABLE 12
 RELEASES FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974
 FOR CERTAIN BEHAVIOR MANIFESTATIONS SHOWING CASE RATING AT ASSIGNMENT BY CASE RATING AT RELEASE

BEHAVIOR MANIFESTATION CASE RATING AT ASSIGNMENT	CASE RATING AT RELEASE					TOTAL NUMBER
	INAPPLICABLE PERCENT	SATISFACTORY PERCENT	MARGINAL PERCENT	UNSATISFACTORY PERCENT		
GENERAL PERSONAL FUNCTIONING	1.0	59.1	30.7	9.2		2976
Inapplicable	17.9	50.0	21.4	10.7		28
Satisfactory	1.2	82.3	14.9	1.6		857
Marginal	.5	54.5	37.9	7.2		1667
Unsatisfactory	1.4	30.9	35.1	32.5		424
PERSONAL APPEARANCE AND GROOMING	.9	76.7	19.4	3.0		2976
Inapplicable	17.9	57.1	17.9	7.1		28
Satisfactory	.7	90.7	8.1	.6		2000
Marginal	.9	50.6	43.9	4.6		800
Unsatisfactory	.7	32.4	41.2	25.7		148
RELATIONSHIP WITH FAMILY	1.5	42.0	39.1	17.4		2976
Inapplicable	31.4	25.7	22.9	20.0		35
Satisfactory	1.4	75.8	18.5	4.3		633
Marginal	.9	41.8	46.0	11.3		1413
Unsatisfactory	1.3	19.0	43.4	36.3		895
ADJUSTMENT WITHIN LIVING SITUATION	2.6	51.1	31.5	14.8		2976
Inapplicable	58.4	16.9	15.6	9.1		77
Satisfactory	1.2	77.6	15.7	5.5		674
Marginal	1.4	49.5	37.2	11.9		1552
Unsatisfactory	.6	32.1	35.8	31.5		673
PEER RELATIONSHIPS	1.4	51.4	36.8	10.4		2976
Inapplicable	17.2	27.6	44.8	10.3		29
Satisfactory	1.4	80.4	16.6	1.5		976
Marginal	1.0	43.8	46.3	8.9		1435
Unsatisfactory	1.7	20.1	47.4	30.8		536

TABLE 12 (CONTINUED)

BEHAVIOR MANIFESTATION CASE RATING AT ASSIGNMENT	CASE RATING AT RELEASE					TOTAL NUMBER
	INAPPLICABLE PERCENT	SATISFACTORY PERCENT	MARGINAL PERCENT	UNSATISFACTORY PERCENT	UNSATISFACTORY PERCENT	
SCHOOL FUNCTIONING	12.3	39.4	26.4	21.8	2976	2976
Inapplicable	64.5	17.8	10.1	7.7	169	169
Satisfactory	4.7	73.3	15.2	6.8	617	617
Marginal	6.5	40.9	35.4	17.2	1105	1105
Unsatisfactory	14.5	22.1	26.1	37.3	1085	1085
EMPLOYMENT FUNCTIONING	55.1	32.9	7.7	4.4	2976	2976
Inapplicable	67.1	25.2	4.8	3.0	2184	2184
Satisfactory	18.7	71.8	7.1	2.4	450	450
Marginal	24.0	36.9	32.0	7.1	225	225
Unsatisfactory	30.8	18.8	17.9	32.5	117	117
COMMUNITY ADJUSTMENT	4.8	55.9	26.0	13.2	2976	2976
Inapplicable	55.1	26.8	13.4	4.7	127	127
Satisfactory	4.2	78.5	14.1	3.2	618	618
Marginal	1.8	54.9	33.1	10.3	1587	1587
Unsatisfactory	3.1	42.5	22.7	31.7	644	644
CLIENT ATTITUDE TOWARD PROGRAM	1.1	67.6	21.3	9.9	2976	2976
Inapplicable	25.8	51.6	12.9	9.7	31	31
Satisfactory	.8	83.7	11.6	3.9	1465	1465
Marginal	1.0	56.2	32.4	10.4	1182	1182
Unsatisfactory	.7	35.9	25.5	37.9	298	298
FAMILY ATTITUDE TOWARD PROGRAM	2.0	66.3	23.2	8.5	2976	2976
Inapplicable	28.3	45.0	10.0	16.7	60	60
Satisfactory	1.4	81.3	13.5	3.8	1742	1742
Marginal	1.4	49.9	38.8	9.8	935	935
Unsatisfactory	2.5	25.9	36.0	35.6	239	239

TABLE 14
 RELEASES FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974 BY COMMITMENT OFFENSE
 BY TYPE OF OFFENSE(S) COMMITTED DURING THE PROGRAM, IF ANY

COMMIT- MENT OFFENSE	OFFENSES COMMITTED DURING PROGRAM												TOTAL
	PERSON		PROPERTY		DRUGS		OTHER		DRIVING		STATUS		
	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT	
PERSON	37	13.7	88	32.6	18	6.7	71	26.3	4	1.5	52	19.3	270
PROPERTY	121	5.7	715	33.6	142	6.7	576	27.1	85	4.0	490	23.0	2129
DRUGS	11	4.0	38	13.8	48	17.5	96	34.9	7	2.5	75	27.3	275
OTHER	24	4.8	112	22.4	30	6.0	167	33.3	29	5.8	139	27.7	501
DRIVING	2	4.7	10	23.3			17	39.5	3	7.0	11	25.6	43
STATUS	45	3.3	227	16.5	102	7.4	271	19.8	35	2.6	692	50.4	1372

TABLE 15
 RELEASES FROM JUVENILE PROBATION SUBSIDY DURING CALENDAR YEARS 1971-1974 BY COMMITMENT OFFENSE
 AND NUMBER OF CLIENTS COMMITTING OFFENSES DURING PROGRAM PARTICIPATION

COMMITMENT OFFENSE	FIRST OFFENSE CATEGORY	SECOND OFFENSE CATEGORY	THIRD OFFENSE CATEGORY	FOURTH OFFENSE CATEGORY	TOTAL OFFENDERS	PERCENT OFFENDERS	TOTAL RELEASES
UNKNOWN	48	1	1	1	51	50.0	102
MANSLAUGHTER BY NEGLIGENCE						0.0	5
ROBBERY: PURSE SNATCHING BY FORCE	7				7	53.9	13
ROBBERY: ALL EXCEPT PURSE SNATCHING	32				32	60.4	53
ASSAULT: AGGRAVATED	15	2	1		18	54.6	33
ASSAULT: ALL EXCEPT AGGRAVATED	28	3	1		32	50.8	63
BURGLARY - BREAKING OR ENTERING	294	3	5	5	307	52.8	581
LARCENY: SHOPLIFTING	32	2	3	1	38	36.9	103
LARCENY: ALL EXCEPT SHOPLIFTING	144	1	5	7	157	57.9	271
AUTO THEFT	171	3	1	4	179	58.7	305
FORCIBLE RAPE	2				2	50.0	4
SEX OFFENSES (EXCEPT FORCIBLE RAPE)	6	1			7	38.9	18
POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS: NARCOTIC	24				24	42.9	56
POSSESSION AND/OR USE OF DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC	68	1	2	2	73	46.2	158
SELLING DRUGS: NARCOTIC	7				7	39.9	19
SELLING DRUGS: ALL EXCEPT NARCOTIC	8				8	29.6	27
POSSESSING OR DRINKING OF LIQUOR	46			1	47	47.0	100
DISORDERLY CONDUCT	10				10	45.5	22
VIOLATION OF CURFEW	10	1	1	1	13	92.9	14
VANDALISM	19				19	34.6	55
DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED	1				1	16.7	6
HIT AND RUN	1				1	50.0	2
RECKLESS DRIVING	6		1	1	8	72.7	11
DRIVING WITHOUT A LICENSE	11	1			12	80.0	15
UNGOVERNABLE BEHAVIOR	285	9	4	4	302	68.0	444
RUNNING AWAY	179	1	3	2	185	64.2	288
TRUANCY	23				23	41.1	56
OTHER	70		1		71	46.7	152
TOTAL	1547	29	29	29	1342		2976

